

PREPARED FOR SUBMISSION TO JHEP

Local metrics admitting a principal Killing–Yano tensor with torsion

Tsuyoshi Houri,^a David Kubizňák,^b Claude M. Warnick^c and Yukinori Yasui^d

^a*Osaka City University Advanced Mathematical Institute (OCAMI), 3-3-138 Sugimoto, Sumiyoshi, Osaka, 558-8585, Japan*

^b*Perimeter Institute, 31 Caroline St. N. Waterloo Ontario, N2L 2Y5, Canada*

^c*Theoretical Physics Institute, University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB, T6G 2G7, Canada*

^d*Department of Mathematics and Physics, Graduate School of Science, Osaka City University, 3-3-138 Sugimoto, Sumiyoshi, Osaka, 558-8585, Japan*

E-mail: houri@sci.osaka-cu.ac.jp, dkubiznak@perimeterinstitute.ca,
warnick@ualberta.ca, yasui@sci.osaka-cu.ac.jp

ABSTRACT: In this paper we initiate a classification of local metrics admitting the principal Killing–Yano tensor with a skew-symmetric torsion. It is demonstrated that in such spacetimes rank-2 Killing tensors occur naturally and mutually commute. We reduce the classification problem to that of solving a set of partial differential equations, and we present some solutions to these PDEs. In even dimensions, three types of local metrics are obtained: one of them naturally generalizes the torsionless case while the others occur only when the torsion is present. In odd dimensions, we obtain more varieties of local metrics. The explicit metrics constructed in this paper are not the most general possible admitting the required symmetry, nevertheless, it is demonstrated that they cover a wide variety of solutions of various supergravities, such as the Kerr–Sen black holes of (un-)gauged abelian heterotic supergravity, the Chong–Cvetič–Lü–Pope black hole solution of five-dimensional minimal supergravity, or the Kähler with torsion manifolds. The relation between generalized Killing–Yano tensors and various torsion Killing spinors is also discussed.

KEYWORDS: Killing–Yano symmetry, torsion, supergravity solutions

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Killing–Yano symmetry with torsion	4
2.1	Definition and basic properties	4
2.2	Generalized PKY tensor	5
2.3	Commuting Killing tensors	6
2.4	Integrability conditions	8
3	Classification of metrics admitting a PKY tensor	9
3.1	Connection 1-forms	9
3.2	Commutators	11
3.3	Type A: $K_\mu = 0$ case	13
3.4	Type B: $M_{\mu\nu} = 0$ case	15
3.5	Type C: Mixed case	15
4	Local metrics in odd dimensions	17
4.1	Connection and commutators	17
4.2	Type A: $K_\mu = 0$ case	19
4.3	Type B: $M_{\mu\nu} = 0$ case	20
4.4	Type C: Mixed case	20
5	Physical examples	21
5.1	Solutions of heterotic supergravity	22
5.1.1	Charged Kerr–NUT black hole metrics	22
5.1.2	Calabi–Yau with torsion metrics	23
5.2	Five-dimensional minimal supergravity black hole metrics	24
6	Conclusions	25
A	Torsion Killing spinors	27
A.1	Twistor spinors and GCKY tensors	27
A.2	Special GCKY tensors	28
B	Bismut connection	30
C	Covariant derivatives	31

1 Introduction

Killing–Yano symmetry has played an important role in the study of black hole physics since Penrose and Floyd discovered that in the Kerr spacetime a first integral of the geodesic equation can be written as the square of a Killing–Yano tensor. Killing–Yano tensors were first introduced from a purely mathematical point of view by Yano [1] and were later generalized to conformal Killing–Yano tensors by Tachibana and Kashiwada [2, 3]. This symmetry is responsible for many remarkable properties of the Kerr geometry. Namely, it allows separation of variables for the Hamilton–Jacobi, Klein–Gordon, Dirac, and Maxwell equations in the curved Kerr background; solution of parallel transport equations; integration of stationary strings and provides non-generic superinvariants for the supersymmetric spinning particle in this background. Recently it was found that the existence of Killing–Yano symmetry extends to many higher-dimensional vacua solutions of Einstein’s equations with cosmological constant describing rotating black holes with spherical horizon topology [4–6]. Due to the Killing–Yano symmetry, these higher-dimensional spacetimes possess similar integrability structures to the Kerr black hole, see, e.g., reviews [7–9] and references therein.

Unfortunately, it turns out that the occurrence of standard Killing–Yano symmetry is rather limited—it is restricted to “vacuum spacetimes” of special algebraic type. This, for example, automatically disqualifies supergravity and string theory black hole solutions in the presence of fluxes. This led the authors of [10, 11] to introduce a notion of *generalized* conformal Killing–Yano symmetry where, in the simplest case, one extends the definition of Killing–Yano equations by considering the skew-symmetric torsion. Such generalized symmetry naturally occurs in some higher-dimensional charged black hole spacetimes of supergravity theories, while such spacetimes do not admit ordinary Killing–Yano symmetries [10, 12]. For example, the black hole spacetime of five-dimensional minimal gauged supergravity admits a Killing–Yano tensor with torsion, provided the torsion is identified with the Hodge dual of the Maxwell field: $T = *F/\sqrt{3}$. This symmetry was also found in the Kerr–Sen black hole solution of effective string theory and its higher-dimensional generalizations, after identifying T with the 3-form field strength H . In both cases, the generalized symmetry discovered in these spacetimes shares almost identical properties with the standard Killing–Yano symmetry and implies the existence of important integrability structures for these black hole solutions.

Geometry with generalized Killing–Yano symmetry is also related to the Kähler geometry studied by Apostolov, Calderbank and Gauduchon [13]. In their paper, these authors introduced a notion of the Hamiltonian 2-form and obtained classification of all Kähler metrics with such a tensor. These metrics can be also obtained as a BPS limit of Euclideanised higher-dimensional black hole spacetimes [6, 14–20]. We shall show that the generalized Killing–Yano symmetry arises on these Kähler manifolds and that it is responsible for separability of the Laplace operator therein.

More generally, Killing–Yano symmetry appears naturally when one studies first-order symmetry operators of the Dirac operator with torsion [21]; it emerges as a subset of necessary conditions for the existence of such an operator. Moreover, we shall show in this

paper that, similar to the torsion-less case [22], various torsion Killing spinors give rise to a tower of all possible rank conformal Killing–Yano forms with torsion. Recently, target spaces of supersymmetric non-relativistic particles with torsion were classified with the generalized Killing–Yano symmetry [23]—giving one more reason to study this generalized symmetry.

In this paper, we attempt to classify spacetimes admitting a Killing–Yano tensor with torsion. We derive explicit forms of the metrics and present some physically interesting examples. When the torsion is absent, metrics with Killing–Yano symmetry were classified in four dimensions [24, 25] and recently in higher dimensions [26–30]. It was shown in [27, 28] that a vacuum solution admitting the *principal Killing–Yano (PKY) tensor* (for definition see Sec. 2.2.) without torsion is uniquely given by the black hole metric found by Chen, Lü and Pope [6]. Thus, it is a natural task to attempt to classify spacetimes admitting Killing–Yano symmetry when the torsion is present. In particular, we concentrate on metrics admitting the *generalized PKY tensor*. These metrics are expected to provide an *ansatz* for exact solutions of various supergravity theories [31]. Hence our study provides an alternative to various approaches for finding new exact solutions, such as restricting to spacetimes with a sufficient number of isometries, supersymmetric spacetimes, spacetimes of special algebraic type, or spacetimes that can be written in a particular ansatz such as the Kerr–Schild form.

Our strategy in classifying the metrics admitting the PKY tensor with torsion is to construct a canonical set of coordinates. Since the PKY tensor is a non-degenerate 2-form, it defines a canonical orthonormal frame at each point. Imposing that the 2-form satisfies the generalized PKY equation we are able to locally relate the canonical frame to a coordinate basis. In these coordinates many components of the torsion tensor vanish and we are left with a system of nonlinear partial differential equations whose solution gives rise to a metric admitting the PKY tensor with torsion. We are able to find large families of solutions of these equations in all dimensions, however, so far we have not been able to find an explicit general solution.

This paper is organized as follows: In Sec. 2, we start with a brief review of conformal Killing–Yano tensors with torsion, introduce the notion of generalized PKY tensor, and show that it generates the whole set of commuting rank-2 Killing tensors. Sec. 3 and 4 represent the main body of the paper where we classify metrics admitting the PKY tensor with torsion. We demonstrate that there are three possible distinct types of metrics, which we call type A, B, and C. Type A metrics can be regarded as a natural generalization of black hole spacetimes and provide a unified description of several known solutions in supergravity theories. Type B and C are exceptional metrics appearing only when the torsion is present. In Sec. 5, we look for solutions of heterotic supergravity under the ansatz of type A metrics. We find two types of solutions: higher-dimensional Kerr–Sen black hole metrics and Kähler with torsion (KT) metrics including Calabi–Yau with torsion metrics. We believe that the latter are new. We also show that in five dimensions the type A metric covers the Chong–Cvetič–Lü–Pope black hole solution of five-dimensional minimal supergravity. Sec. 6 is devoted to discussion and conclusions. In App. A we discuss the relation of generalized Killing–Yano tensors to various torsion Killing spinors,

App. B collects information about the Bismut connection, and App. C gathers covariant derivatives of the canonical frame.

2 Killing–Yano symmetry with torsion

2.1 Definition and basic properties

We start with a review of Killing–Yano symmetry with torsion, see also [10, 12]. Let T be a 3-form on a D -dimensional Riemannian manifold (M, g) and $\{e_a\}$ be an orthonormal frame, $g(e_a, e_b) = \delta_{ab}$. We define a connection ∇^T by

$$\nabla_X^T Y = \nabla_X Y + \frac{1}{2} \sum_a T(X, Y, e_a) e_a, \quad (2.1)$$

where X and Y are vector fields and ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection. This connection satisfies a metricity condition, $\nabla^T g = 0$, and has the same geodesics as ∇ , $\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}}^T \dot{\gamma} = \nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} \dot{\gamma} = 0$ for a geodesic γ . The connection 1-form ω^{Ta}_b is introduced by

$$\nabla_{e_a}^T e_b = \sum_c \omega^{Ta}_c(e_a) e_c, \quad (2.2)$$

which satisfies

$$de^a + \sum_b \omega^{Ta}_b \wedge e^b = T^a \quad (2.3)$$

where $T_a(X, Y) = T(e_a, X, Y)$.

For a p -form Ψ a covariant derivative is calculated as

$$\nabla_X^T \Psi = \nabla_X \Psi - \frac{1}{2} \sum_a (X \lrcorner e_a \lrcorner T) \wedge (e_a \lrcorner \Psi), \quad (2.4)$$

where the operator \lrcorner represents the inner product. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d^T \Psi &= \sum_a e^a \wedge \nabla_{e_a}^T \Psi \\ &= d\Psi - \sum_a (e_a \lrcorner T) \wedge (e_a \lrcorner \Psi), \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^T \Psi &= - \sum_a e_a \lrcorner \nabla_{e_a}^T \Psi \\ &= \delta \Psi - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a,b} (e_a \lrcorner e_b \lrcorner T) \wedge (e_a \lrcorner e_b \lrcorner \Psi). \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

For $\Psi = T$ one has $\delta^T T = \delta T$.

A *generalized conformal Killing–Yano (GCKY) tensor* k introduced in [10] is a p -form satisfying for any vector field X the following equation:

$$\nabla_X^T k = \frac{1}{p+1} X \lrcorner d^T k - \frac{1}{D-p+1} X^\flat \wedge \delta^T k, \quad (2.7)$$

where X^\flat is a dual 1-form of X . We call a GCKY tensor f obeying $\delta^T f = 0$ a *generalized Killing–Yano tensor*, and a GCKY tensor h obeying $d^T h = 0$ a *d^T -closed GCKY tensor*.

We can see the GCKY equation as arising from representation theory considerations in the bundle of forms, cf. [22, 32]. In general for a Riemannian manifold, one can decompose $T^*M \otimes \Lambda^p T^*M$ as an $O(n)$ representation as follows

$$T^*M \otimes \Lambda^p T^*M \cong \Lambda^{p+1} T^*M \oplus \Lambda^{p-1} T^*M \oplus \Lambda^{p,1} T^*M \quad (2.8)$$

where $\Lambda^{p,1} T^*M$ consists of those elements $\alpha \otimes \psi$ of $T^*M \otimes \Lambda^p T^*M$ which satisfy $\alpha \wedge \psi = 0$, $\alpha^\sharp \lrcorner \psi = 0$. Applying this to $\nabla^T k$, one identifies the projection into $\Lambda^{p+1} T^*M$ as $d^T k$ and the projection into $\Lambda^{p-1} T^*M$ as $\delta^T k$, up to multiples. The generalized conformal Killing–Yano equation expresses the requirement that the component of $\nabla^T k$ transforming in the $\Lambda^{p,1} T^*M$ representation vanishes. The generalized Killing–Yano (d^T -closed GCKY) equation further requires that the component transforming in the $\Lambda^{p-1} T^*M$ (resp. $\Lambda^{p+1} T^*M$) vanishes. For this reason, we see that the existence of these tensors is closely tied to the underlying Riemannian geometry.

It is demonstrated in App. A that GCKY tensors arise naturally from corresponding torsion Killing spinors. For further general properties of these tensors we refer the reader to the paper [12].

2.2 Generalized PKY tensor

In what follows, we assume that (M, g) admits a non-degenerate rank-2 d^T -closed GCKY tensor h obeying

$$\nabla_X^T h = X^\flat \wedge \xi, \quad (2.9)$$

where

$$\xi = -\frac{1}{D-1} \delta^T h \quad (2.10)$$

is called an *associated 1-form of h* . The terminology “non-degenerate” means that the rank of h as a (1,1)-tensor is maximal at all points of M and that its eigenvalues are functionally independent. We call a non-degenerate rank-2 d^T -closed GCKY tensor a *principal Killing–Yano (PKY) tensor with torsion*, or equivalently, a *generalized PKY tensor*. Our aim is to classify spacetimes admitting this tensor.

In order to distinguish between even and odd dimensions we set $D = 2n + \varepsilon$, where $\varepsilon = 0$ for even dimensions or $\varepsilon = 1$ for odd dimensions, and introduce a Darboux frame; $\{e^a\} = \{e^\mu, e^{\mu+n} = e^{\hat{\mu}}\}$ ($\mu = 1, \dots, n$) in even dimensions and $\{e^a\} = \{e^\mu, e^{\mu+n} = e^{\hat{\mu}}, e^{2n+1} = e^0\}$ in odd dimensions in which the metric and the PKY tensor are written in the form (see, e.g., [7] for the construction of this frame)

$$g = \sum_{\mu=1}^n (e^\mu \otimes e^\mu + e^{\hat{\mu}} \otimes e^{\hat{\mu}}) + \varepsilon e^0 \otimes e^0, \quad (2.11)$$

$$h = \sum_{\mu=1}^n x_\mu e^\mu \wedge e^{\hat{\mu}}. \quad (2.12)$$

Since there are still degrees of freedom under the rotation in each $(e^\mu, e^{\hat{\mu}})$ -plane, we fix the orthonormal frame by taking the form of ξ as, cf. [27, 29],

$$\xi = \sum_{\mu=1}^n \sqrt{Q_\mu} e^{\hat{\mu}} + \varepsilon \sqrt{Q_0} e^0, \quad (2.13)$$

where Q_μ and Q_0 are unknown functions. This fully fixed orthonormal frame is called a *canonical frame*. It follows from non-degeneracy of h that $Q_\mu \neq 0$. Although there is no reason why also the function Q_0 must be non-zero, we hereafter assume $Q_0 \neq 0$.

Our task is to classify spacetimes with the generalized PKY tensor. This means that we have to determine not only all the possible metrics but also all the admissible torsions compatible with Eq. (2.9) and the non-degeneracy of the PKY tensor. We will see in Sec. 3, that these requirements imply that many of the components of the torsion 3-form in the canonical frame must vanish. More precisely, we obtain the following lemma:

Lemma 2.1 *With respect to the canonical frame $\{e^a\}$, the torsion 3-form T obeying (2.9) has only $\mu\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}$ -components ($\mu \neq \nu$) in even dimensions while the other components are vanishing. In odd dimensions, the $\mu\hat{\mu}0$ -components may also be non-zero. That is, the torsion 3-form in $D = 2n + \varepsilon$ dimensions takes the form*

$$T = \sum_{\mu=1}^n \sum_{\nu \neq \mu} T_{\mu\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}} e^\mu \wedge e^{\hat{\mu}} \wedge e^{\hat{\nu}} + \varepsilon \sum_{\mu=1}^n T_{\mu\hat{\mu}0} e^\mu \wedge e^{\hat{\mu}} \wedge e^0. \quad (2.14)$$

As an immediate consequence of this lemma we infer the following: Since h is d^T -closed, we have

$$dh = \sum_a (e_a \lrcorner T) \wedge (e_a \lrcorner h). \quad (2.15)$$

Hence, by substituting (2.12) and (2.14) into (2.15), we obtain a relation between the PKY tensor and the torsion 3-form,

$$dh = - \sum_{\mu=1}^n \sum_{\nu \neq \mu} x_\mu T_{\nu\hat{\nu}\hat{\mu}} e^\nu \wedge e^{\hat{\nu}} \wedge e^{\hat{\mu}}. \quad (2.16)$$

This means that when in addition we require the PKY tensor to be closed, $dh = 0$, then in even dimensions the torsion necessarily vanishes, while it can have only $\mu\hat{\mu}0$ -components in odd dimensions.

Further geometrical interpretation is given in App. B.

2.3 Commuting Killing tensors

It is known that in the absence of torsion, spacetimes admitting a PKY tensor have mutually commuting rank-2 Killing tensors which are responsible for an integrable structure for the geodesic and Klein–Gordon equations. We now show that the existence of such Killing tensors is also guaranteed when the torsion is present.

The following basic properties of GCKY tensors were demonstrated in [10, 12]:

1. A GCKY 1-form is equal to a conformal Killing 1-form. In particular, a generalized Killing–Yano 1-form is equal to a Killing 1-form.
2. The Hodge star $*$ maps GCKY p -forms into GCKY $(D-p)$ -forms. In particular, the Hodge star of a d^T -closed GCKY p -form is a generalized Killing–Yano $(D-p)$ -form and vice versa.
3. When h_1 and h_2 is a d^T -closed GCKY p -form and q -form, then $h_3 = h_1 \wedge h_2$ is a d^T -closed GCKY $(p+q)$ -form.
4. Let k be a generalized Killing–Yano p -form. Then the rank-2 symmetric tensor

$$Q_{ab} = k_{ac_1 \dots c_{p-1}} k_b^{c_1 \dots c_{p-1}} \quad (2.17)$$

is a conformal Killing tensor. In particular, Q is a Killing tensor if k is a generalized Killing–Yano tensor.

By applying these properties to a PKY tensor h , the wedge products of j PKY tensors, $h^{(j)} = h \wedge \dots \wedge h$, are rank- $(2j)$ d^T -closed GCKY tensors and $f^{(j)} = *h^{(j)}$ are generalized Killing–Yano $(D-2j)$ -forms. In odd dimensions, $f^{(n)} = *h^{(n)}$ is a Killing vector. Rank-2 symmetric tensors $K_{ab}^{(j)} = [j!^2(n-2j-1)!]^{-1} f_{ac_1 \dots c_{D-2j-1}}^{(j)} f_b^{(j)c_1 \dots c_{D-2j-1}}$ are Killing tensors, which are explicitly given by

$$K^{(j)} = \sum_{\mu=1}^n A_{\mu}^{(j)} (e^{\mu} \otimes e^{\mu} + e^{\hat{\mu}} \otimes e^{\hat{\mu}}) + \varepsilon A^{(j)} e^0 \otimes e^0, \quad (2.18)$$

where $A_{\mu}^{(k)}$ and $A^{(k)}$ are elementary symmetric polynomials in x_{μ}^2 defined by the generating functions

$$\prod_{\nu=1}^n (t + x_{\nu}^2) = A^{(0)} t^n + A^{(1)} t^{n-1} + \dots + A^{(n)}, \quad (2.19)$$

$$\prod_{\substack{\nu=1 \\ \nu \neq \mu}}^n (t + x_{\nu}^2) = A_{\mu}^{(0)} t^{n-1} + A_{\mu}^{(1)} t^{n-2} + \dots + A_{\mu}^{(n-1)}. \quad (2.20)$$

We have the following proposition:

Proposition 2.1 *The Killing tensors $K^{(i)}$, (2.18), mutually commute*

$$[K^{(i)}, K^{(j)}] = 0, \quad (2.21)$$

under the Schouten–Nijenhuis bracket defined as

$$[K^{(i)}, K^{(j)}]_{abc} \equiv K_{e(a}^{(i)} \nabla^e K_{bc)}^{(j)} - K_{e(a}^{(j)} \nabla^e K_{bc)}^{(i)}. \quad (2.22)$$

Proof. Using the connection ∇^T , we rewrite $[K^{(i)}, K^{(j)}]_{abc}$ as

$$\begin{aligned} K_{e(a}^{(i)} \nabla^e K_{bc)}^{(j)} - K_{e(a}^{(j)} \nabla^e K_{bc)}^{(i)} &= K_{e(a}^{(i)} \nabla^{Te} K_{bc)}^{(j)} - K_{e(a}^{(j)} \nabla^{Te} K_{bc)}^{(i)} \\ &\quad - K_{e(a}^{(i)} T_{b|d|}^e K_{c)}^{(j)d} + K_{e(a}^{(j)} T_{b|d|}^e K_{c)}^{(i)d} . \end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

It was shown in [12] that these Killing tensors satisfy $K_{e(a}^{(i)} \nabla^{Te} K_{bc)}^{(j)} - K_{e(a}^{(j)} \nabla^{Te} K_{bc)}^{(i)} = 0$ and hence the first term on the r.h.s vanishes. Using now the non-vanishing components of Killing tensors (2.18) and non-vanishing components of the torsion 3-form (2.14) derived in Lemma 2.1, it can be easily shown that also the second term on the r.h.s vanishes. \square

To summarize, similar to the torsion-less case, the existence of the PKY tensor with torsion guarantees the existence of the whole tower of mutually commuting Killing tensors (2.18). However, contrary to the torsion-less case, it does not necessarily imply the existence of any Killing vector fields, except that in odd dimensions $f^{(n)} = *h^{(n)}$ is a Killing vector.

2.4 Integrability conditions

Let us first note that from Eq. (2.12) we have $x_\mu = h(e_\mu, e_{\hat{\mu}})$. By applying ∇_X^T to this relation we find

$$\begin{aligned} X(x_\mu) &= \nabla_X^T h(e_\mu, e_{\hat{\mu}}) + h(\nabla_X^T e_\mu, e_{\hat{\mu}}) + h(e_\mu, \nabla_X^T e_{\hat{\mu}}) \\ &= g(X, e_\mu) g(\xi, e_{\hat{\mu}}) - g(\xi, e_\mu) g(X, e_{\hat{\mu}}) , \end{aligned} \quad (2.24)$$

which leads to

$$e_\nu(x_\mu) = \sqrt{Q_\mu} \delta_{\mu\nu} , \quad e_{\hat{\nu}}(x_\mu) = 0 , \quad e_0(x_\mu) = 0 . \quad (2.25)$$

The definition of PKY (2.9) also implies

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_Y^T \nabla_X^T h &= (\nabla_Y^T X^b) \wedge \xi + X^b \wedge (\nabla_Y^T \xi) , \\ \nabla_{[X,Y]}^T h &= [X, Y]^b \wedge \xi . \end{aligned} \quad (2.26)$$

Hence, we obtain the following integrability condition:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{R}^T(X, Y)h &\equiv (\nabla_X^T \nabla_Y^T - \nabla_Y^T \nabla_X^T - \nabla_{[X,Y]}^T)h \\ &= Y^b \wedge \nabla_X^T \xi - X^b \wedge \nabla_Y^T \xi + \sum_c T(X, Y, e_c) e^c \wedge \xi , \end{aligned} \quad (2.27)$$

where we have used $\nabla_X^T Y^b - \nabla_Y^T X^b - [X, Y]^b = \sum_c T(X, Y, e_c) e^c$. Since the curvature operator $\hat{R}^T(X, Y)$ is related to the curvature with torsion \mathcal{R}^T by $\mathcal{R}^T(X, Y, Z, W) = g(\hat{R}^T(X, Y)Z, W)$, in components the integrability condition reads

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_{abc}^T e^e h_{ed} - \mathcal{R}_{abd}^T e^e h_{ec} &= g_{ad} \nabla_b^T \xi_c - g_{ac} \nabla_b^T \xi_d - g_{bd} \nabla_a^T \xi_c + g_{bc} \nabla_a^T \xi_d \\ &\quad + T_{abc} \xi_d - T_{abd} \xi_c . \end{aligned} \quad (2.28)$$

Both equations (2.25) and (2.28) will be exploited in the next section.

3 Classification of metrics admitting a PKY tensor

Let us first discuss possible local forms of metrics in *even dimensions*; classification of odd-dimensional metrics is deferred to Sec. 4. We proceed as follows: By applying the techniques developed in [27, 29], we first restrict the form of the connection 1-forms with torsion. Employing further the PKY equation (2.9) and its integrability conditions we can eliminate a great number of unknown components of the torsion. In addition, we can determine covariant derivatives $\nabla_{e_a}^T e_b$ in terms of the eigenvalues x_μ , the unknown functions Q_μ , and derivatives of the associated 1-form ξ . Finally, we study commutators of the basis vectors. Imposing the Jacobi identity, we are able to further restrict the form of the canonical frame, we derive necessary differential constraints (3.32)–(3.36), and establish an important “algebraic relation”, (3.31), which we use for the classification of all possible metrics. We divide such metrics into type A, B, and C, and study them in the appropriate subsections.

3.1 Connection 1-forms

To restrict the form of connection 1-forms with torsion we employ the techniques developed in [27, 29]. Namely, we define a (1,1)-tensor Q as

$$Q^a_b = -h^a_c h^c_b, \quad (3.1)$$

and denote its spectrum by $\lambda_i = \{x_1^2, x_2^2, \dots, x_n^2, 0\}$, where the eigenvalues x_μ^2 are of multiplicity two, and the last zero value eigenvalue $\lambda_{n+1} = 0$ is present only in odd dimensions. Hence we take, $i = 1, \dots, n + \varepsilon$. We also introduce the orthogonal projection operators $\mathcal{P}(\lambda_i)$ which map a vector onto its component in the eigenspace of λ_i . In particular, $Q = \sum_i \lambda_i \mathcal{P}(\lambda_i)$, and, from here,

$$(I + tQ)^{-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{n+\varepsilon} \frac{1}{1 + t\lambda_i} \mathcal{P}(\lambda_i). \quad (3.2)$$

By differentiating both sides of Eq. (3.2) and using the PKY equation, the covariant derivatives of the projection operators can be evaluated as follows [29]:

$$\nabla_a^T \mathcal{P}(x_\mu^2)_{bc} = \sum_{\nu \neq \mu} \frac{F(x_\mu^2, x_\nu^2)_{abc}}{x_\mu^2 - x_\nu^2} + \frac{F(x_\mu^2, 0)_{abc}}{x_\mu^2}, \quad \nabla_a^T \mathcal{P}(0)_{bc} = - \sum_{\mu=1}^n \frac{F(0, x_\mu^2)_{abc}}{x_\mu^2}, \quad (3.3)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} F(x_\mu^2, x_\nu^2)_{abc} &= x_\nu \sqrt{Q_\nu} \mathcal{P}(x_\mu^2)_{ab} (e^\nu)_c + \sqrt{Q_\nu} h_{ad} \mathcal{P}(x_\mu^2)^d_b (e^\nu)_c \\ &\quad + x_\mu \sqrt{Q_\mu} \mathcal{P}(x_\nu^2)_{ab} (e^\mu)_c + \sqrt{Q_\mu} h_{ad} \mathcal{P}(x_\nu^2)^d_b (e^\mu)_c + (b \leftrightarrow c), \\ F(x_\mu^2, 0)_{abc} &= \sqrt{Q_0} h_{ad} \mathcal{P}(x_\mu^2)^d_b (e^0)_c + x_\mu \sqrt{Q_\mu} \mathcal{P}(0)_{ab} (e^\mu)_c + (b \leftrightarrow c). \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

From (2.12) and (2.13), the canonical bases are written as

$$(e_\mu)^a = \frac{1}{x_\mu \sqrt{Q_\mu}} h^a_b \mathcal{P}(x_\mu^2)^b_c \xi^c, \quad (e_{\hat{\mu}})^a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{Q_\mu}} \mathcal{P}(x_\mu^2)^a_b \xi^b, \quad (e_0)^a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{Q_0}} \mathcal{P}(0)^a_b \xi^b. \quad (3.5)$$

Using the equation $\omega^a_b(e_c) = e^a(\nabla_c^T e_b)$, let us calculate $(\omega^T)^\mu_{\hat{\mu}}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega^{T\mu}_{\hat{\mu}}(e_a) &= (e^\mu)_b \nabla_a^T (e_{\hat{\mu}})^b = (e^\mu)_b \nabla_a^T \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{Q_\mu}} \mathcal{P}(x_\mu^2)^b{}_c \xi^c \right) \\
&= (e^\mu)_b \left(\nabla_a^T \frac{1}{\sqrt{Q_\mu}} \right) \mathcal{P}(x_\mu^2)^b{}_c \xi^c + \frac{1}{\sqrt{Q_\mu}} (e^\mu)_b \left(\nabla_a^T \mathcal{P}(x_\mu^2)^b{}_c \right) \xi^c \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\sqrt{Q_\mu}} (e^\mu)_b \mathcal{P}(x_\mu^2)^b{}_c \left(\nabla_a^T \xi^c \right) \\
&= \sum_{\nu \neq \mu} \frac{Q_\nu h_{ab} \mathcal{P}(x_\mu^2)^b{}_c (e_\mu)^c}{\sqrt{Q_\mu} (x_\mu^2 - x_\nu^2)} + \sum_{\nu \neq \mu} \frac{\sqrt{Q_\mu} h_{ab} \mathcal{P}(x_\nu^2)^b{}_c (e_\mu)^c}{x_\mu^2 - x_\nu^2} + \frac{Q_0 h_{ab} \mathcal{P}(x_\mu^2)^b{}_c (e_\mu)^c}{x_\mu^2 \sqrt{Q_\mu}} \\
&\quad + \sum_{\nu \neq \mu} \frac{x_\mu \sqrt{Q_\nu}}{x_\mu^2 - x_\nu^2} (e^{\hat{\nu}})_a + \varepsilon k \frac{\sqrt{Q_0}}{x_\mu} (e^0)_a + \frac{1}{\sqrt{Q_\mu}} (e^\mu)_c \nabla_a^T \xi^c .
\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Thus, the following connection 1-forms are obtained:

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega^{T\mu}_{\hat{\mu}} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{Q_\mu}} \left(- \sum_{\substack{\nu=1 \\ \nu \neq \mu}}^n \frac{x_\mu Q_\nu}{x_\mu^2 - x_\nu^2} - \frac{Q_0}{x_\mu} \right) e^{\hat{\mu}} + \sum_{\substack{\nu=1 \\ \nu \neq \mu}}^n \frac{x_\mu \sqrt{Q_\nu}}{x_\mu^2 - x_\nu^2} e^{\hat{\nu}} \\
&\quad + \varepsilon \frac{\sqrt{Q_0}}{x_\mu} e^0 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{Q_\mu}} \sum_a \left((e^\mu)_c \nabla_a^T \xi^c \right) e^a .
\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

The other connection 1-forms are calculated similarly. In particular, in even dimensions we obtain the following:

Lemma 3.1 *In even dimensions the connection 1-forms with torsion must have the following form:*

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega^{T\mu}_\nu &= - \frac{x_\nu \sqrt{Q_\nu}}{x_\mu^2 - x_\nu^2} e^\mu - \frac{x_\mu \sqrt{Q_\mu}}{x_\mu^2 - x_\nu^2} e^\nu , \quad (\mu \neq \nu) \\
\omega^{T\mu}_{\hat{\mu}} &= - \frac{1}{\sqrt{Q_\mu}} \sum_{\nu \neq \mu} \frac{x_\mu Q_\nu}{x_\mu^2 - x_\nu^2} e^{\hat{\mu}} + \sum_{\nu \neq \mu} \frac{x_\mu \sqrt{Q_\nu}}{x_\mu^2 - x_\nu^2} e^{\hat{\nu}} + \sum_a \frac{\kappa_a^\mu}{\sqrt{Q_\mu}} e^a , \\
\omega^{T\mu}_{\hat{\nu}} &= \frac{x_\mu \sqrt{Q_\nu}}{x_\mu^2 - x_\nu^2} e^{\hat{\mu}} - \frac{x_\mu \sqrt{Q_\mu}}{x_\mu^2 - x_\nu^2} e^{\hat{\nu}} , \quad (\mu \neq \nu) \\
\omega^{T\hat{\mu}}_{\hat{\nu}} &= - \frac{x_\mu \sqrt{Q_\nu}}{x_\mu^2 - x_\nu^2} e^\mu - \frac{x_\nu \sqrt{Q_\mu}}{x_\mu^2 - x_\nu^2} e^\nu , \quad (\mu \neq \nu)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

where

$$\kappa_a{}^b \equiv (e^b)_c \nabla_a^T \xi^c . \tag{3.9}$$

The components of connection 1-forms are expressed in terms of the eigenvalues x_μ of the PKY tensor, the components $\sqrt{Q_\mu}$ of the associated 1-form, and κ_{ab} .

We can gain more information about κ_{ab} by directly differentiating (2.13) and using (3.8), to obtain

$$\kappa_{\mu\hat{\mu}} = e_{\mu}(\sqrt{Q_{\mu}}) - \sum_{\nu \neq \mu} \frac{x_{\mu} Q_{\nu}}{x_{\mu}^2 - x_{\nu}^2}, \quad (3.10)$$

$$\kappa_{\mu\hat{\nu}} = e_{\mu}(\sqrt{Q_{\nu}}) + \frac{x_{\mu} \sqrt{Q_{\nu}} \sqrt{Q_{\mu}}}{x_{\mu}^2 - x_{\nu}^2}, \quad (\mu \neq \nu) \quad (3.11)$$

$$\kappa_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\mu}} = e_{\hat{\mu}}(\sqrt{Q_{\mu}}), \quad (3.12)$$

$$\kappa_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}} = e_{\hat{\mu}}(\sqrt{Q_{\nu}}). \quad (\mu \neq \nu) \quad (3.13)$$

Also, by evaluating the integrability condition (2.28) on $(c, d) = (\mu, \hat{\mu})$ and using the fact that h is diagonalized in the canonical frame, it follows that

$$\delta_{a\hat{\mu}} \kappa_{b\mu} - \delta_{a\mu} \kappa_{b\hat{\mu}} - \delta_{b\hat{\mu}} \kappa_{a\mu} + \delta_{b\mu} \kappa_{a\hat{\mu}} + \sqrt{Q_{\mu}} T_{ab\mu} = 0. \quad (3.14)$$

Hence we find

$$\kappa_{\mu\mu} + \kappa_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\mu}} = 0, \quad (3.15)$$

$$\kappa_{\mu\nu} = \kappa_{\mu\hat{\nu}} = \kappa_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}} = 0, \quad (\mu \neq \nu) \quad (3.16)$$

$$\kappa_{\hat{\mu}\nu} = -\sqrt{Q_{\nu}} T_{\hat{\mu}\nu\hat{\nu}}, \quad (\mu \neq \nu) \quad (3.17)$$

and obtain

$$T_{\mu\nu\hat{\nu}} = 0, \quad (\mu \neq \nu) \quad (3.18)$$

$$T_{\mu\nu\rho} = T_{\mu\nu\hat{\rho}} = T_{\mu\hat{\nu}\hat{\rho}} = 0. \quad (\mu, \nu, \rho \text{ all different}) \quad (3.19)$$

From the connection 1-forms (3.8) together with (3.16) one can evaluate covariant derivatives $\nabla_{e_a}^T e_b$, which are summarized in App. C. Using these expressions and Eq. (2.25), we can directly confirm that (2.12) satisfies the PKY equation (2.9).

3.2 Commutators

To obtain yet more information about κ_{ab} , we consider the commutation relations. Using

$$[e_a, e_b] = \nabla_{e_a}^T e_b - \nabla_{e_b}^T e_a - \sum_c T(e_a, e_b, e_c) e_c, \quad (3.20)$$

we have

$$[e_{\mu}, e_{\nu}] = -\frac{x_{\nu} \sqrt{Q_{\nu}}}{x_{\mu}^2 - x_{\nu}^2} e_{\mu} - \frac{x_{\mu} \sqrt{Q_{\mu}}}{x_{\mu}^2 - x_{\nu}^2} e_{\nu}, \quad (\mu \neq \nu) \quad (3.21)$$

$$[e_{\mu}, e_{\hat{\mu}}] = K_{\mu} e_{\mu} + L_{\mu} e_{\hat{\mu}} + \sum_{\rho \neq \mu} M_{\mu\rho} e_{\hat{\rho}}, \quad (3.22)$$

$$[e_{\mu}, e_{\hat{\nu}}] = -\frac{x_{\mu} \sqrt{Q_{\mu}}}{x_{\mu}^2 - x_{\nu}^2} e_{\hat{\nu}}, \quad (\mu \neq \nu) \quad (3.23)$$

$$[e_{\hat{\mu}}, e_{\hat{\nu}}] = -\sum_{\rho \neq \mu, \nu} T_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}\hat{\rho}} e_{\hat{\rho}}, \quad (\mu \neq \nu) \quad (3.24)$$

where we have defined

$$K_\mu \equiv \frac{\kappa_\mu^\mu}{\sqrt{Q_\mu}} = -\frac{e_{\hat{\mu}}(\sqrt{Q_\mu})}{\sqrt{Q_\mu}}, \quad (3.25)$$

$$L_\mu \equiv -\frac{1}{\sqrt{Q_\mu}} \left(\sum_{\rho \neq \mu} \frac{x_\mu Q_\rho}{x_\mu^2 - x_\rho^2} - \kappa_{\hat{\mu}}^\mu \right), \quad (3.26)$$

$$M_{\mu\nu} \equiv \frac{2x_\mu \sqrt{Q_\nu}}{x_\mu^2 - x_\nu^2} - T_{\mu\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}}, \quad (\mu \neq \nu) \quad (3.27)$$

and we have used (3.12) and (3.15).

We can demonstrate that a new frame $\{\epsilon_\mu\}$ defined by $\epsilon_\mu = e_\mu / \sqrt{Q_\mu}$ satisfies $[\epsilon_\mu, \epsilon_\nu] = 0$. From Frobenius' theorem, therefore, we can choose x_μ as local coordinates of an integral submanifold \mathcal{N} and the vector fields e_μ can be locally written as (see also [27])

$$e_\mu = \sqrt{Q_\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\mu}. \quad (3.28)$$

Furthermore, together with (3.11), (3.13) and (3.16), we can determine the form of the functions Q_μ as follows:

$$Q_\mu = \frac{X_\mu}{U_\mu}, \quad U_\mu = \prod_{\nu \neq \mu} (x_\mu^2 - x_\nu^2), \quad (3.29)$$

where X_μ are arbitrary functions satisfying $e_\nu(X_\mu) = e_{\hat{\nu}}(X_\mu) = 0$ for $\nu \neq \mu$.

We have restricted the forms of the connection 1-forms by essentially using the integrability condition of the PKY tensor. However, Eqs. (3.21)–(3.24) do not yet satisfy the Jacobi identity

$$[[e_a, e_b], e_c] + [[e_b, e_c], e_a] + [[e_c, e_a], e_b] = 0, \quad (3.30)$$

which is equivalent to the first Bianchi identity. After some calculations, we find that the $\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}\hat{\rho}$ -components (μ, ν, ρ all different) of the torsion 3-form must vanish, $T_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}\hat{\rho}} = 0$. Thus, combining this result with (3.18) and (3.19), we obtain Lemma 2.1. Now we have $[e_{\hat{\mu}}, e_{\hat{\nu}}] = 0$, which provides an integrable distribution spanned by $e_{\hat{\mu}}$ aside from the previous integrable distribution \mathcal{N} . Simultaneously, the Jacobi identities require the algebraic equation

$$M_{\mu\nu} K_\nu = 0, \quad (\mu \neq \nu, \text{ no sum}) \quad (3.31)$$

and the following system of partial differential equations for K_μ , L_μ and $M_{\mu\nu}$ (μ, ν, ρ all

different and no sum):

$$\partial_\nu K_\mu = \frac{x_\nu K_\mu}{x_\mu^2 - x_\nu^2} , \quad (3.32)$$

$$\partial_\nu L_\mu = \frac{x_\nu L_\mu}{x_\mu^2 - x_\nu^2} - \frac{M_{\mu\nu} M_{\nu\mu}}{\sqrt{Q_\nu}} - \frac{2x_\mu x_\nu \sqrt{Q_\mu}}{(x_\mu^2 - x_\nu^2)^2} , \quad (3.33)$$

$$\partial_\nu M_{\mu\nu} = \left(\frac{2x_\nu}{x_\mu^2 - x_\nu^2} - \frac{L_\nu}{\sqrt{Q_\nu}} \right) M_{\mu\nu} , \quad (3.34)$$

$$\partial_\nu M_{\mu\rho} = \left(\frac{2x_\nu}{x_\mu^2 - x_\nu^2} + \frac{x_\nu}{x_\nu^2 - x_\rho^2} \right) M_{\mu\rho} - \frac{M_{\mu\nu} M_{\nu\rho}}{\sqrt{Q_\nu}} , \quad (3.35)$$

and

$$e_{\hat{\nu}}(K_\mu) = 0 , \quad e_{\hat{\nu}}(L_\mu) = 0 , \quad e_{\hat{\nu}}(M_{\mu\nu}) = 0 , \quad e_{\hat{\nu}}(M_{\mu\rho}) = 0 . \quad (3.36)$$

From Eq. (3.31), one finds that there are three types of solutions: (type A) $K_\mu = 0$ for all μ , (type B) $M_{\mu\nu} = 0$ for all μ, ν , and (type C) Mixed case, i.e., $K_\mu \neq 0$ for $\mu = 1, \dots, k$ ($1 < k < n$) and $K_\mu = 0$ for $\mu = k+1, \dots, n$. Eq. (3.32) automatically holds for (3.25). The integrability conditions of (3.33), (3.34) and (3.35) are satisfied, namely differentiating these equations does not produce any additional equations. Note that Eqs. (3.21)–(3.24) are easily written as

$$de^\mu = \sum_{\nu \neq \mu} \frac{x_\nu \sqrt{Q_\nu}}{x_\mu^2 - x_\nu^2} e^\mu \wedge e^\nu - K_\mu e^\mu \wedge e^{\hat{\mu}} , \quad (3.37)$$

$$de^{\hat{\mu}} = -L_\mu e^\mu \wedge e^{\hat{\mu}} - \sum_{\nu \neq \mu} \frac{x_\nu \sqrt{Q_\nu}}{x_\mu^2 - x_\nu^2} e^\nu \wedge e^{\hat{\mu}} - \sum_{\nu \neq \mu} M_{\nu\mu} e^\nu \wedge e^{\hat{\nu}} . \quad (3.38)$$

Thus our problem has been reduced to finding the solutions to (3.33)–(3.36), and then finding the canonical frame $\{e^a\}$ obeying (3.37) and (3.38).

3.3 Type A: $K_\mu = 0$ case

Let us first consider the case of $K_\mu = 0$ for all μ . For simplicity, we assume that functions L_μ and $M_{\mu\nu}$ depend only on x_μ -coordinate, so that Eqs. (3.36) are trivially satisfied since $e_{\hat{\mu}}(x_\nu) = 0$ for all μ, ν . Eq. (3.25) shows that $e_{\hat{\mu}}(\sqrt{Q_\mu}) = 0$ for all μ , which, together with $e_{\hat{\nu}}(\sqrt{Q_\mu}) = 0$, implies that functions X_μ are functions of one variable only: $X_\mu = X_\mu(x_\mu)$.

For Eqs. (3.33), (3.34) and (3.35), we obtain the following solution:¹

$$L_\mu = -\partial_\mu \sqrt{Q_\mu} + \left(\partial_\mu \ln \frac{\Phi}{f_\mu} \right) \sqrt{Q_\mu} , \quad (3.39)$$

$$M_{\mu\nu} = \frac{f_\nu}{f_\mu} \left(\frac{2x_\mu}{x_\mu^2 - x_\nu^2} + \partial_\mu \ln \Phi \right) \sqrt{Q_\nu} , \quad (3.40)$$

¹ In the absence of torsion, the general solution is $L_\mu = -\partial_\mu \sqrt{Q_\mu}$ and $M_{\mu\nu} = 2x_\mu \sqrt{Q_\nu} / (x_\mu^2 - x_\nu^2)$, which leads to the Kerr-NUT-(A)dS spacetimes found in [6].

where Φ is a function obeying $\partial_\mu \partial_\nu [(x_\mu^2 - x_\nu^2)\Phi] = 0$, and can be solved in the form²

$$\Phi = 1 + \sum_{\mu=1}^n \frac{N_\mu}{U_\mu}. \quad (3.41)$$

Thus our solution includes $3n$ arbitrary functions X_μ , f_μ and N_μ depending on one variable x_μ only. From Lemma 2.1, (3.27) and (3.40), the torsion 3-form is given by

$$T = \sum_{\mu \neq \nu} \left[\frac{2x_\mu}{x_\mu^2 - x_\nu^2} - \frac{f_\nu}{f_\mu} \left(\frac{2x_\mu}{x_\mu^2 - x_\nu^2} + \partial_\mu \ln \Phi \right) \right] \sqrt{Q_\nu} e^\mu \wedge e^{\hat{\mu}} \wedge e^{\hat{\nu}}. \quad (3.42)$$

Finally we have to solve Eqs. (3.37) and (3.38). This is done as follows. It is possible to show that the the following 2-form:

$$F_{(2)} = \sum_{\mu=1}^n \frac{\partial_\mu \ln \Phi}{f_\mu} e^\mu \wedge e^{\hat{\mu}} \quad (3.43)$$

is d -closed and hence can be locally written as $F_{(2)} = dA_{(1)}$. Furthermore, we can prove that 1-forms

$$\theta_k = \sum_{\mu=1}^n \frac{(-1)^k x_\mu^{2(n-k-1)}}{U_\mu} \frac{e^{\hat{\mu}}}{f_\mu \sqrt{Q_\mu}} + \delta_{k0} A_{(1)}, \quad k = 0, \dots, n-1 \quad (3.44)$$

are also d -closed. We can introduce local functions ψ_k such that $\theta_k = d\psi_k$. Thus the canonical frame reads

$$e^\mu = \frac{dx_\mu}{\sqrt{Q_\mu}}, \quad e^{\hat{\mu}} = f_\mu \sqrt{Q_\mu} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} A_\mu^{(k)} d\psi_k - A_{(1)} \right), \quad (3.45)$$

where 1-form $A_{(1)}$ takes the form $A_{(1)} = d\psi_0 + \sum_{\mu=1}^n A_{\hat{\mu}} e^{\hat{\mu}}$. By exploiting the gauge freedom we can eliminate the exact term, to obtain $A_{(1)} = \sum_{\mu=1}^n A_{\hat{\mu}} e^{\hat{\mu}}$. Since $\partial\psi_k/\partial x_\mu = 0$, we can use the functions $\{x_\mu, \psi_k\}$ as local coordinates. In general, $A_{\hat{\mu}}$ may depend on ψ_k and its dependence is determined by differential equation $F_{(2)} = dA_{(1)}$. If we assume that components $A_{\hat{\mu}}$ are independent of ψ_k , coordinates ψ_k become Killing coordinates and the metric is explicitly given by

$$g = \sum_{\mu=1}^n \frac{U_\mu}{X_\mu} dx_\mu^2 + \sum_{\mu=1}^n \frac{f_\mu^2 X_\mu}{U_\mu} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} A_\mu^{(k)} d\psi_k - A_{(1)} \right)^2, \quad (3.46)$$

where

$$A_{(1)} = \frac{1}{\Phi} \sum_{\mu=1}^n \frac{N_\mu}{U_\mu} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} A_\mu^{(k)} d\psi_k, \quad (3.47)$$

Φ is given by (3.41), and torsion by (3.42).

² Here, 1 is just a convenient choice of normalization for the integration constant. Choosing different value would slightly change the final expression (3.47).

3.4 Type B: $M_{\mu\nu} = 0$ case

Next, we consider the case of $M_{\mu\nu} = 0$ for all μ and ν . The torsion is fixed to be

$$T = \sum_{\mu \neq \nu} \frac{2x_\mu \sqrt{Q_\nu}}{x_\mu^2 - x_\nu^2} e^\mu \wedge e^{\hat{\mu}} \wedge e^{\hat{\nu}} . \quad (3.48)$$

Eq. (3.33) reduces to

$$\partial_\nu L_\mu = \frac{x_\nu L_\mu}{x_\mu^2 - x_\nu^2} - \frac{2x_\mu x_\nu \sqrt{Q_\mu}}{(x_\mu^2 - x_\nu^2)^2} , \quad (3.49)$$

which gives the solution

$$L_\mu = - \sum_{\rho \neq \mu} \frac{x_\rho \sqrt{Q_\mu}}{x_\mu^2 - x_\rho^2} + f_\mu \sqrt{Q_\mu} , \quad (3.50)$$

where f_μ are functions satisfying $\partial_\nu f_\mu = e_{\hat{\nu}}(f_\mu) = 0$ for $\mu \neq \nu$.

Let us consider vector fields $\{\epsilon_{\hat{\mu}}\}$ defined by $\epsilon_{\hat{\mu}} = \sqrt{U_\mu/Y_\mu} e_{\hat{\mu}}$, where $\partial_\nu Y_\mu = e_{\hat{\nu}}(Y_\mu) = 0$ for $\mu \neq \nu$. If $f_\mu = \partial_\mu \ln \sqrt{Y_\mu}$, these vector fields satisfy $[\epsilon_\mu, \epsilon_\nu] = [\epsilon_{\hat{\mu}}, \epsilon_{\hat{\nu}}] = 0$. Since we already have $[\epsilon_\mu, \epsilon_\nu] = 0$, we can introduce local coordinates y_μ that are independent to x_μ , i.e., $\epsilon_{\hat{\mu}} = \partial/\partial y_\mu$. Thus we have

$$e_\mu = \sqrt{\frac{X_\mu}{U_\mu}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\mu} , \quad e_{\hat{\mu}} = \sqrt{\frac{Y_\mu}{U_\mu}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_\mu} . \quad (3.51)$$

Therefore, the metric is given by

$$g = \sum_{\mu=1}^n U_\mu \left(\frac{dx_\mu^2}{X_\mu} + \frac{dy_\mu^2}{Y_\mu} \right) , \quad (3.52)$$

where X_μ and Y_μ are functions depending on both coordinates x_μ and y_μ ; $X_\mu = X_\mu(x_\mu, y_\mu)$ and $Y_\mu = Y_\mu(x_\mu, y_\mu)$. Thus, we have explicitly constructed metrics in all even dimensions which admit the whole tower of Killing tensors (2.18) but in general possess no Killing fields.

3.5 Type C: Mixed case

The mixed type C is the most complicated. For simplicity, we consider only four-dimensional case. Eq. (3.31) then reads

$$M_{12}K_2 = 0 , \quad M_{21}K_1 = 0 . \quad (3.53)$$

When we choose $K_2 = 0$ and $M_{21} = 0$, the equations to solve are

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_y L_1 &= \frac{yL_1}{x^2 - y^2} - \frac{2xy\sqrt{Q_1}}{(x^2 - y^2)^2} , & \partial_x L_2 &= \frac{xL_2}{y^2 - x^2} - \frac{2yx\sqrt{Q_2}}{(y^2 - x^2)^2} , \\ \partial_y M_{12} &= \left(\frac{2y}{x^2 - y^2} - \frac{L_2}{\sqrt{Q_2}} \right) M_{12} . \end{aligned} \quad (3.54)$$

The solutions are

$$\begin{aligned} L_1 &= -\frac{x\sqrt{Q_1}}{x^2-y^2} + f_1\sqrt{Q_1}, \quad L_2 = -\frac{y\sqrt{Q_2}}{y^2-x^2} + f_2\sqrt{Q_2}, \\ M_{12} &= h\sqrt{Q_1}\exp\left(-\int f_2 dy\right), \quad M_{21} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.55)$$

where f_1 , f_2 and h are arbitrary functions satisfying $\partial_y f_1 = 0$, $\partial_x f_2 = 0$, and $\partial_y h = 0$. Assuming $f_1 = f_1(x)$, $f_2 = f_2(y)$ and $h = h(x)$, we have commutation relations

$$\begin{aligned} [e_1, e_2] &= -\frac{y\sqrt{Q_2}}{x^2-y^2} e_1 - \frac{x\sqrt{Q_1}}{x^2-y^2} e_2, \\ [e_1, e_{\hat{1}}] &= K_1 e_1 + L_1 e_{\hat{1}} + M_{12} e_{\hat{2}}, \quad [e_2, e_{\hat{2}}] = L_2 e_{\hat{2}}, \\ [e_1, e_{\hat{2}}] &= -\frac{x\sqrt{Q_1}}{x^2-y^2} e_{\hat{2}}, \quad [e_2, e_{\hat{1}}] = -\frac{y\sqrt{Q_2}}{y^2-x^2} e_{\hat{1}}, \\ [e_{\hat{1}}, e_{\hat{2}}] &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.56)$$

It can be shown that the following vector fields $\{\epsilon_\mu, \hat{e}_\mu\}$ are mutually commuting:

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_1 &= \frac{e_1}{\sqrt{Q_1}}, \quad \epsilon_2 = \frac{e_2}{\sqrt{Q_2}}, \\ \hat{e}_1 &= E_1^1 e_{\hat{1}} + E_1^2 e_{\hat{2}}, \quad \hat{e}_2 = E_2^1 e_{\hat{1}} + E_2^2 e_{\hat{2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.57)$$

where $\hat{e}_1 = \partial/\partial u^1$, $\hat{e}_2 = \partial/\partial u^2$ and

$$\begin{aligned} E_i^1 &= \sqrt{x^2-y^2} a_i(u) \Psi_1(x), \\ E_i^2 &= \sqrt{x^2-y^2} \left(-a_i(u) \Xi_1(x) + b_i(u) \right) \Psi_2(y). \end{aligned} \quad (3.58)$$

The functions $\Psi_1(x)$, $\Psi_2(y)$ and $\Xi_1(x)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_1(x) &= \exp\left(-\int f_1(x) dx\right), \quad \Psi_2(y) = \exp\left(-\int f_2(y) dy\right), \\ \Xi_1(x) &= \int \left(h(x) \exp\left(-\int f_1(x) dx\right) \right) dx, \end{aligned} \quad (3.59)$$

and $a_i(u)$ and $b_i(u)$ must satisfy

$$\frac{\partial a_2}{\partial u^1} - \frac{\partial a_1}{\partial u^2} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial b_2}{\partial u^1} - \frac{\partial b_1}{\partial u^2} = 0. \quad (3.60)$$

Moreover, in order that vector fields \hat{e}_1 and \hat{e}_2 are linear independent, it must be satisfied that $a_1 b_2 - a_2 b_1 \neq 0$. Then we obtain a local form of the metric

$$g = (x^2 - y^2) \left[\frac{dx^2}{X(x, \psi_1)} - \frac{dy^2}{Y(y)} + \Psi_1(x)^2 d\psi_1^2 + \Psi_2(y)^2 \left(-\Xi_1(x) d\psi_1 + d\psi_2 \right)^2 \right], \quad (3.61)$$

where

$$d\psi_1 = a_1 du^1 + a_2 du^2, \quad d\psi_2 = b_1 du^1 + b_2 du^2. \quad (3.62)$$

We emphasize that X allows dependence of x and ψ_1 , while Y is a function depending only on y , which comes from $K_1 \neq 0$ and $K_2 = 0$.

4 Local metrics in odd dimensions

In this section, we shall discuss local forms of metrics admitting a generalized PKY tensor in odd dimensions. We shall proceed in a fashion similar to our approach in even dimensions.

4.1 Connection and commutators

Lemma 4.1 *In odd dimensions, the connection 1-forms with torsion must have the following form:*

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega^{T\mu}{}_{\nu} &= -\frac{x_{\nu}\sqrt{Q_{\nu}}}{x_{\mu}^2 - x_{\nu}^2} e^{\mu} - \frac{x_{\mu}\sqrt{Q_{\mu}}}{x_{\mu}^2 - x_{\nu}^2} e^{\nu} , \quad (\mu \neq \nu) \\
\omega^{T\mu}{}_{\hat{\mu}} &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{Q_{\mu}}} \left(\sum_{\nu \neq \mu} \frac{x_{\mu} Q_{\nu}}{x_{\mu}^2 - x_{\nu}^2} + \frac{Q_0}{x_{\mu}} \right) e^{\hat{\mu}} + \sum_{\nu \neq \mu} \frac{x_{\mu}\sqrt{Q_{\nu}}}{x_{\mu}^2 - x_{\nu}^2} e^{\hat{\nu}} \\
&\quad + \frac{\sqrt{Q_0}}{x_{\mu}} e^0 + \sum_a \frac{\kappa_a{}^{\mu}}{\sqrt{Q_{\mu}}} e^a , \\
\omega^{T\mu}{}_{\hat{\nu}} &= \frac{x_{\mu}\sqrt{Q_{\nu}}}{x_{\mu}^2 - x_{\nu}^2} e^{\hat{\mu}} - \frac{x_{\mu}\sqrt{Q_{\mu}}}{x_{\mu}^2 - x_{\nu}^2} e^{\hat{\nu}} , \quad (\mu \neq \nu) \\
\omega^{T\hat{\mu}}{}_{\hat{\nu}} &= -\frac{x_{\mu}\sqrt{Q_{\nu}}}{x_{\mu}^2 - x_{\nu}^2} e^{\mu} - \frac{x_{\nu}\sqrt{Q_{\mu}}}{x_{\mu}^2 - x_{\nu}^2} e^{\nu} , \quad (\mu \neq \nu) \\
\omega^{T\mu}{}_0 &= \frac{\sqrt{Q_0}}{x_{\mu}} e^{\hat{\mu}} - \frac{\sqrt{Q_{\mu}}}{x_{\mu}} e^0 , \\
\omega^{T\hat{\mu}}{}_0 &= -\frac{\sqrt{Q_0}}{x_{\mu}} e^{\mu} ,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

where, as before, $\kappa_a{}^b$ is defined by (3.9).

To collect more information about $\kappa_a{}^b$, we differentiate (2.13) using (4.1), and obtain

$$\kappa_{\mu\hat{\mu}} = e_{\mu}(\sqrt{Q_{\mu}}) - \sum_{\nu \neq \mu} \frac{x_{\mu} Q_{\nu}}{x_{\mu}^2 - x_{\nu}^2} - \frac{Q_0}{x_{\mu}} , \tag{4.2}$$

$$\kappa_{\mu\hat{\nu}} = e_{\mu}(\sqrt{Q_{\nu}}) + \frac{x_{\mu}\sqrt{Q_{\mu}}\sqrt{Q_{\nu}}}{x_{\mu}^2 - x_{\nu}^2} , \quad (\mu \neq \nu) , \quad \kappa_{\mu 0} = e_{\mu}(\sqrt{Q_0}) + \frac{\sqrt{Q_{\mu}}\sqrt{Q_0}}{x_{\mu}} , \tag{4.3}$$

$$\kappa_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\mu}} = e_{\hat{\mu}}(\sqrt{Q_{\mu}}) , \tag{4.4}$$

$$\kappa_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}} = e_{\hat{\mu}}(\sqrt{Q_{\nu}}) , \quad (\mu \neq \nu) , \quad \kappa_{0\hat{\mu}} = e_0(\sqrt{Q_{\mu}}) , \tag{4.5}$$

$$\kappa_{\hat{\mu} 0} = e_{\hat{\mu}}(\sqrt{Q_0}) , \quad \kappa_{00} = e_0(\sqrt{Q_0}) . \tag{4.6}$$

By using the integrability condition for the PKY tensor we find that

$$\kappa_{\mu\mu} + \kappa_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\mu}} = 0 , \tag{4.7}$$

$$\kappa_{\mu\nu} = \kappa_{\mu\hat{\nu}} = \kappa_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}} = 0 , \quad (\mu \neq \nu) , \quad \kappa_{0\hat{\mu}} = 0 , \tag{4.8}$$

$$\kappa_{\hat{\mu}\nu} = -\sqrt{Q_{\nu}} T_{\hat{\mu}\nu} , \quad (\mu \neq \nu) , \quad \kappa_{0\mu} = -\sqrt{Q_{\mu}} T_{\mu\hat{0}} , \tag{4.9}$$

and obtain

$$T_{\mu\nu\hat{\nu}} = T_{\mu\nu 0} = T_{\mu\hat{\nu} 0} = 0 , \quad (\mu \neq \nu) , \quad (4.10)$$

$$T_{\mu\nu\rho} = T_{\mu\nu\hat{\rho}} = T_{\mu\hat{\nu}\hat{\rho}} = 0 . \quad (\mu, \nu, \rho \text{ all different}) \quad (4.11)$$

From the connection 1-forms (4.1) together with (4.8) one can evaluate covariant derivatives $\nabla_{e_a}^T e_b$, which are summarized in App. C. Using these formulae and Eq (2.25) we can directly confirm that (2.12) satisfies the PKY equation.

From Eq. (3.20), we have the commutation relations

$$[e_\mu, e_\nu] = -\frac{x_\nu\sqrt{Q_\nu}}{x_\mu^2 - x_\nu^2} e_\mu - \frac{x_\mu\sqrt{Q_\mu}}{x_\mu^2 - x_\nu^2} e_\nu , \quad (\mu \neq \nu) \quad (4.12)$$

$$[e_\mu, e_{\hat{\mu}}] = K_\mu e_\mu + L_\mu e_{\hat{\mu}} + \sum_{\rho \neq \mu} M_{\mu\rho} e_{\hat{\rho}} + J_\mu e_0 , \quad (4.13)$$

$$[e_\mu, e_{\hat{\nu}}] = -\frac{x_\mu\sqrt{Q_\mu}}{x_\mu^2 - x_\nu^2} e_{\hat{\nu}} , \quad (\mu \neq \nu) \quad (4.14)$$

$$[e_{\hat{\mu}}, e_{\hat{\nu}}] = -\sum_{\rho \neq \mu, \nu} T_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}\hat{\rho}} e_{\hat{\rho}} - T_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu} 0} e_0 , \quad (\mu \neq \nu) \quad (4.15)$$

$$[e_\mu, e_0] = -\frac{\sqrt{Q_\mu}}{x_\mu} e_0 , \quad (4.16)$$

$$[e_{\hat{\mu}}, e_0] = \sum_{\nu \neq \mu} T_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu} 0} e_{\hat{\nu}} , \quad (4.17)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} K_\mu &\equiv \frac{\kappa_\mu^\mu}{\sqrt{Q_\mu}} , \quad L_\mu \equiv -\frac{1}{\sqrt{Q_\mu}} \left(\sum_{\rho \neq \mu} \frac{x_\mu Q_\rho}{x_\mu^2 - x_\rho^2} + \frac{Q_0}{x_\mu} - \kappa_{\hat{\mu}}^\mu \right) , \\ M_{\mu\nu} &\equiv \frac{2x_\mu\sqrt{Q_\nu}}{x_\mu^2 - x_\nu^2} - T_{\mu\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}} \quad (\mu \neq \nu) , \quad J_\mu \equiv \frac{2\sqrt{Q_0}}{x_\mu} - T_{\mu\hat{\mu} 0} . \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

Especially, from (4.4) and (4.7) we again obtain (3.25). Moreover, we have

$$e_\mu = \sqrt{Q_\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\mu} , \quad (4.19)$$

where Q_μ takes the form (3.29) with functions X_μ satisfying $e_\nu(X_\mu) = e_{\hat{\nu}}(X_\mu) = e_0(X_\mu) = 0$. The Jacobi identities require $T_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}\hat{\rho}} = 0$ and $T_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu} 0} = 0$, which leads to Lemma 2.1. Unknown functions K_μ , L_μ and $M_{\mu\nu}$ obey the same equations³ (3.31)–(3.36) and in addition

$$\partial_\nu J_\mu = \left(\frac{2x_\nu}{x_\mu^2 - x_\nu^2} + \frac{1}{x_\nu} \right) J_\mu - \frac{M_{\mu\nu} J_\nu}{\sqrt{Q_\nu}} , \quad (\mu \neq \nu) \quad (4.20)$$

and

$$e_0(K_\mu) = 0 , \quad e_0(L_\mu) = 0 , \quad e_0(M_{\mu\nu}) = 0 \quad (\mu \neq \nu) , \quad (4.21)$$

$$e_{\hat{\nu}}(J_\mu) = 0 \quad (\mu \neq \nu) , \quad e_0(J_\mu) = 0 . \quad (4.22)$$

³ Note that functions L_μ in odd dimensions are different from those in even dimensions, though we use the same symbols L_μ , cf. (3.27).

We already know a solution for functions K_μ , L_μ and $M_{\mu\nu}$ because they obey the same equations as in even dimensions; the dependence of e_0 is determined by (4.21). So again, we obtain three classes of solutions for K_μ , L_μ and $M_{\mu\nu}$. On the other hand, having one more function J_μ in the case of odd dimensions, we still have to solve differential equations (4.20) and (4.22). The torsion always includes one arbitrary function Q_0 , cf. (4.18). The frame is determined from (3.37), (3.38), and

$$de^0 = - \sum_{\mu=1}^n J_\mu e^\mu \wedge e^{\hat{\mu}} + \sum_{\mu=1}^n \frac{\sqrt{Q_\mu}}{x_\mu} e^\mu \wedge e^0. \quad (4.23)$$

4.2 Type A: $K_\mu = 0$ case

Taking $K_\mu = 0$ for all μ , we have the solutions (3.39) and (3.40). Substituting (3.40) into (4.20), we obtain

$$\partial_\nu J_\mu = \left(\frac{2x_\nu}{x_\mu^2 - x_\nu^2} + \frac{1}{x_\nu} \right) J_\mu - \frac{f_\nu}{f_\mu} \left(\frac{2x_\mu}{x_\mu^2 - x_\nu^2} + \partial_\mu \ln \Phi \right) J_\nu. \quad (\mu \neq \nu) \quad (4.24)$$

We find $J_\mu = k_1 J_\mu^{(1)} + k_2 J_\mu^{(2)}$ as a linear combination of two solutions

$$J_\mu^{(1)} = \frac{1}{f_\mu \prod_{\rho=1}^n x_\rho} \left(\frac{2}{x_\mu} + \partial_\mu \ln \Phi \right), \quad J_\mu^{(2)} = \frac{1}{f_\mu} (\partial_\mu \ln \Phi) \prod_{\mu=1}^n x_\mu, \quad (4.25)$$

where k_1 and k_2 are arbitrary constants and Φ is given by (3.41). By parallel calculations to even dimensions, leading to metrics (3.46), we obtain the following solution:

$$g = \sum_{\mu=1}^n \frac{U_\mu}{X_\mu} dx_\mu^2 + \sum_{\mu=1}^n \frac{f_\mu^2 X_\mu}{U_\mu} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} A_\mu^{(k)} d\psi_k - A_{(1)} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{k_1}{\prod_{\rho=1}^n x_\rho} \left(\sum_{k=0}^n A^{(k)} d\psi_k - A_{(1)} \right) + k_2 \left(\prod_{\rho=1}^n x_\rho \right) (d\psi_n - A_{(1)}) \right)^2, \quad (4.26)$$

where $A_{(1)}$ is given by (3.47), and the torsion takes the form

$$T = \sum_{\mu \neq \nu} \left[\frac{2x_\mu}{x_\mu^2 - x_\nu^2} - \frac{f_\nu}{f_\mu} \left(\frac{2x_\mu}{x_\mu^2 - x_\nu^2} + \partial_\mu \ln \Phi \right) \right] \sqrt{Q_\nu} e^\mu \wedge e^{\hat{\mu}} \wedge e^{\hat{\nu}} + \sum_{\mu=1}^n \left[\frac{2\sqrt{Q_0}}{x_\mu} - \frac{k_1}{f_\mu \prod_{\rho=1}^n x_\rho} \left(\frac{2}{x_\mu} + \partial_\mu \ln \Phi \right) - \frac{k_2}{f_\mu} (\partial_\mu \ln \Phi) \prod_{\rho=1}^n x_\rho \right] e^\mu \wedge e^{\hat{\mu}} \wedge e^0. \quad (4.27)$$

Specifically, we consider the case of $T_{\mu\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}} = 0$ for $\mu \neq \nu$. In this case, the PKY tensor becomes both d -closed and d^T -closed, cf. (2.16). Then we have

$$L_\mu = -\partial_\mu \sqrt{Q_\mu}, \quad M_{\mu\nu} = \frac{2x_\mu \sqrt{Q_\nu}}{x_\mu^2 - x_\nu^2}, \quad (4.28)$$

and Eq. (4.20) has the solution

$$J_\mu = k \left(\prod_{\rho=1}^n x_\rho \right) (\partial_\mu \Phi) \quad (4.29)$$

with an arbitrary constants k . The torsion takes the form

$$T = \sum_{\mu=1}^n \left[\frac{2\sqrt{Q_0}}{x_\mu} - k \left(\prod_{\rho=1}^n x_\rho \right) (\partial_\mu \Phi) \right] e^\mu \wedge e^{\hat{\mu}} \wedge e^0, \quad (4.30)$$

and the corresponding metric is given by

$$g = \sum_{\mu=1}^n \frac{U_\mu}{X_\mu} dx_\mu^2 + \sum_{\mu=1}^n \frac{X_\mu}{U_\mu} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} A_\mu^{(k)} d\psi_k \right)^2 + k^2 \left(\prod_{\rho=1}^n x_\rho^2 \right) \left(d\psi_n - B_{(1)} \right)^2, \quad (4.31)$$

where $B_{(1)}$ is defined by

$$B_{(1)} = \sum_{\mu=1}^n \frac{N_\mu}{U_\mu} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} A_\mu^{(k)} d\psi_k. \quad (4.32)$$

Both metrics (4.26) and (4.31) provide an ansatz for supergravity solutions and will be exploited in the next section.

4.3 Type B: $M_{\mu\nu} = 0$ case

When we take $M_{\mu\nu} = 0$ for all μ and ν , then

$$T = \sum_{\mu \neq \nu} \frac{2x_\mu \sqrt{Q_\nu}}{x_\mu^2 - x_\nu^2} e^\mu \wedge e^{\hat{\mu}} \wedge e^{\hat{\nu}}. \quad (4.33)$$

Eq. (4.20) reduces to

$$\partial_\nu J_\mu = \left(\frac{2x_\nu}{x_\mu^2 - x_\nu^2} + \frac{1}{x_\nu} \right) J_\mu. \quad (4.34)$$

In the same manner as in even dimensions we obtain (3.51), which implies that $\mu\hat{\mu}$ -components of de^0 vanish. Hence, from Eq. (4.23) we have $J_\mu = 0$ and

$$g = \sum_{\mu=1}^n U_\mu \left(\frac{dx_\mu^2}{X_\mu} + \frac{dy_\mu^2}{Y_\mu} \right) + \left(\prod_{\mu=1}^n x_\mu^2 \right) dz^2, \quad (4.35)$$

where X_μ and Y_μ are functions depending on both coordinates x_μ and y_μ ; $X_\mu = X_\mu(x_\mu, y_\mu)$ and $Y_\mu = Y_\mu(x_\mu, y_\mu)$.

4.4 Type C: Mixed case

For simplicity, we consider five-dimensional case. Since Eq. (3.31) must hold in the case of odd dimensions, we take $K_2 = 0$ and $M_{21} = 0$. Then one finds the solutions (3.55) for L_μ and $M_{\mu\nu}$, and obtains the partial differential equations for J_μ ,

$$\frac{\partial J_1}{\partial y} = \left(\frac{2y}{x^2 - y^2} + \frac{1}{y} \right) J_1 - \frac{M_{12} J_2}{\sqrt{Q_2}}, \quad \frac{\partial J_2}{\partial x} = \left(\frac{2x}{y^2 - x^2} + \frac{1}{x} \right) J_2. \quad (4.36)$$

The solutions are

$$J_1 = \frac{yS_1\sqrt{Q_1}}{\sqrt{x^2-y^2}}, \quad J_2 = \frac{xS_2\sqrt{Q_2}}{\sqrt{x^2-y^2}}, \quad (4.37)$$

where $\partial S_2/\partial x = 0$ and

$$S_1 = -xh \int \frac{S_2\Psi_2}{y} dy \quad (4.38)$$

with Ψ_1 , Ψ_2 and h defined in Sec. 3.5. One also obtains commuting vector fields $\hat{e}_i = E_i^1 e_{\hat{1}} + E_i^2 e_{\hat{2}} + E_i^0 e_0$ ($i = 0, 1, 2$), where $\hat{e}_0 = \partial/\partial u^0$, $\hat{e}_1 = \partial/\partial u^1$, $\hat{e}_2 = \partial/\partial u^2$ and

$$\begin{aligned} E_i^1 &= \sqrt{x^2-y^2} a_i(u) \Psi_1(x), \quad E_i^2 = \sqrt{x^2-y^2} \left(-a_i(u) \Xi_1(x) + b_i(u) \right) \Psi_2(y), \\ E_i^0 &= xy \left[\left(a_i(u) \Xi_1(x) - b_i(u) \right) \Xi_2(y) + c_i(u) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.39)$$

The functions $\Xi_1(x)$ and $\Xi_2(y)$ are

$$\Xi_1(x) = \int \left(h \exp \left(- \int f_1 dx \right) \right) dx, \quad \Xi_2(y) = \int \frac{s_2 \Psi_2}{y} dy, \quad (4.40)$$

and $a = (a_i)$, $b = (b_i)$ and $c = (c_i)$ ($i = 0, 1, 2$) must satisfy $\nabla \times a = 0$, $\nabla \times b = 0$ and $\nabla \times c = 0$, where $\nabla = (\partial/\partial u^0, \partial/\partial u^1, \partial/\partial u^2)$. Moreover, in order that the vector fields \hat{e}_i are linearly independent, a , b and c are also linearly independent. Thus the five-dimensional metric of type C takes a local form

$$\begin{aligned} g &= (x^2 - y^2) \left(\frac{dx^2}{X(x, \psi_1)} - \frac{dy^2}{Y(y)} + \Psi_1(x)^2 d\psi_1^2 + \Psi_2(y)^2 \left(-\Xi_1(x) d\psi_1 + d\psi_2 \right)^2 \right) \\ &\quad + x^2 y^2 \left(d\psi_0 - \Xi_2(y) \left(-\Xi_1(x) d\psi_1 + d\psi_2 \right) \right)^2, \end{aligned} \quad (4.41)$$

where $d\psi_1 = \sum_i a_i du^i$, $d\psi_2 = \sum_i b_i du^i$ and $d\psi_0 = \sum_i c_i du^i$. It should be emphasized that X depends on x and ψ_1 and Y depends only on y .

5 Physical examples

In this section we shall illustrate how the results described in Sec. 3 and Sec. 4 can be applied in concrete supergravity theories. In arbitrary even dimensions we present new examples of Kähler with torsion (KT) metrics. These are obtained by slightly modifying the ansatz of higher dimensional charged Kerr-NUT black hole metrics.⁴

⁴The deformations of Calabi–Yau manifolds as supersymmetric solutions to abelian heterotic supergravity are discussed in [33]. Although the method is different, our KT examples are closely related to their deformations.

5.1 Solutions of heterotic supergravity

We consider the abelian heterotic supergravity, which is obtained as a low-energy effective theory of heterotic string theory. The action consists of a metric g , scalar field ϕ , $U(1)$ potential A and 2-form potential B ,

$$S = \int e^\phi \left(* \mathcal{R} + * d\phi \wedge d\phi - * F \wedge F - \frac{1}{2} * H \wedge H \right) \quad (5.1)$$

where $F = dA$ and $H = dB - A \wedge dA$. The equations of motion are

$$R_{ab} - \nabla_a \nabla_b \phi - F_a{}^c F_{bc} - \frac{1}{4} H_a{}^{cd} H_{bcd} = 0, \quad (5.2)$$

$$d(e^\phi * F) = e^\phi * H \wedge F, \quad (5.3)$$

$$d(e^\phi * H) = 0, \quad (5.4)$$

$$(\nabla \phi)^2 + 2 \nabla^2 \phi + \frac{1}{2} F_{ab} F^{ab} + \frac{1}{12} H_{abc} H^{abc} - R = 0. \quad (5.5)$$

We investigate solutions whose metrics take the form of type A, i.e., (3.46) in even dimensions and (4.26) in odd dimensions. The metric in $2n + \varepsilon$ dimensions ($\varepsilon = 0$ or 1) includes unknown functions f_μ , N_μ and X_μ ($\mu = 1, \dots, n$) which depend on one variable x_μ only. In particular, we find solutions in two cases of $f_\mu = 1$ and $f_\mu = 2x_\mu$.

5.1.1 Charged Kerr-NUT black hole metrics

In the case of $f_\mu = 1$ for all μ , we use $F_{(2)} = dA_{(1)}$, (3.43), as F and further identify H with the torsion 3-form T , (3.42) or (4.27). In odd dimensions, we have one more arbitrary function Q_0 in the torsion $T = H$. The equations of motion (5.2)–(5.5) give the solution

$$X_\mu = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} c_k x_\mu^{2k} + m_\mu x_\mu^{1-\varepsilon} + \varepsilon \frac{(-1)^n c}{x_\mu^2}, \quad (5.6)$$

$$N_\mu = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} b_k x_\mu^{2k} + a m_\mu x_\mu^{1-\varepsilon}. \quad (5.7)$$

In odd dimensions, the function Q_0 is determined as $\sqrt{Q_0} = \sqrt{c} / \prod_{\rho=1}^n x_\rho$. This solution includes free parameters m_μ ($\mu = 1, \dots, n$), a , b_k and c_k ($k = 0, \dots, n-1$), c and q with a relation $1 + b_{n-1} = a c_{n-1} + a q^2$. If we take $b_k = 0$ for $k = 0, \dots, n-1$, the solution reproduces the charged Kerr-NUT black hole solution [34–36]. Then the metric and the

fields are given by (in odd dimensions we have chosen $k_1 = \sqrt{c}$ and $k_2 = 0$)

$$g = \sum_{\mu=1}^n \frac{U_\mu}{X_\mu} dx_\mu^2 + \sum_{\mu=1}^n \frac{X_\mu}{U_\mu} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} A_\mu^{(k)} d\psi_k - A_{(1)} \right)^2 + \frac{\varepsilon c}{\prod_{\rho=1}^n x_\rho^2} \left(\sum_{k=0}^n A^{(k)} d\psi_k - A_{(1)} \right)^2, \quad (5.8)$$

$$F = q \sum_{\mu=1}^n \partial_\mu \ln \Phi e^\mu \wedge e^{\hat{\mu}}, \quad (5.9)$$

$$H = - \left(\sum_{\mu=1}^n \partial_\mu \ln \Phi e^\mu \wedge e^{\hat{\mu}} \right) \wedge \left(\sum_{\nu=1}^n \sqrt{\frac{X_\nu}{U_\nu}} e^{\hat{\nu}} + \frac{\varepsilon \sqrt{c}}{\prod_{\rho=1}^n x_\rho} e^0 \right), \quad (5.10)$$

$$\phi = \ln \Phi, \quad (5.11)$$

where

$$\Phi = 1 + b_{n-1} + a \sum_{\mu=1}^n \frac{m_\mu x_\mu^{1-\varepsilon}}{U_\mu}, \quad (5.12)$$

and $A_{(1)}$ is given by (3.47). Properties of these solutions related to the hidden symmetries have been studied in [12, 34]. In even dimensions, the metric (5.8) is hermitian for complex structures J_ϵ defined in App. B, and hence the charged Kerr-NUT metric has multi-hermitian structure⁵. The corresponding KT structure is given by the Bismut torsion [37]

$$B_\epsilon = \sum_{\mu=1}^n \sum_{\nu \neq \mu} \left(\frac{2(\epsilon_\mu \epsilon_\nu x_\nu - x_\mu)}{x_\mu^2 - x_\nu^2} - \partial_\mu \ln \Phi \right) \sqrt{\frac{X_\nu}{U_\nu}} e^\mu \wedge e^{\hat{\mu}} \wedge e^{\hat{\nu}}, \quad (5.13)$$

with $\epsilon_\mu = \pm 1$.

5.1.2 Calabi–Yau with torsion metrics

We consider the even dimensional metric (3.46) with $f_\mu = 2x_\mu$ for $\mu = 1, \dots, n$,

$$g = \sum_{\mu=1}^n \frac{U_\mu}{X_\mu} dx_\mu^2 + \sum_{\mu=1}^n \frac{4x_\mu^2 X_\mu}{U_\mu} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} A_\mu^{(k)} d\psi_k - A_{(1)} \right)^2. \quad (5.14)$$

For the metric (5.14) and the complex structures J_ϵ defined in App. B, the Bismut torsion is given by

$$B_\epsilon = \sum_{\mu \neq \nu} \left(\frac{2(\epsilon_\mu \epsilon_\nu - 1)x_\nu}{x_\mu^2 - x_\nu^2} - \frac{x_\nu (\partial_\mu \ln \Phi)}{x_\mu} \right) \sqrt{\frac{X_\nu}{U_\nu}} e^\mu \wedge e^{\hat{\mu}} \wedge e^{\hat{\nu}} \quad (5.15)$$

with $\epsilon_\mu = \pm 1$. In turn, instead of indentifying the 3-form field strength H with the torsion T associated with PKY tensor, we use the Bismut torsion B_ϵ with all ϵ_μ equal,

⁵ When the torsion is absent, the multi-hermitian structure of the Kerr-NUT-(A)dS metrics was discussed in [40].

$\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2 = \dots = \epsilon_n = \pm 1$. And we take the Maxwell field F as (3.43). The equations of motion give the solution

$$X_\mu = \frac{1}{4x_\mu^2} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n c_k x_\mu^{2k} + m_\mu \right), \quad (5.16)$$

$$N_\mu = \sum_{k=1}^n b_k x_\mu^{2k} + a m_\mu \quad (5.17)$$

with $b_n = a c_n$. This solution includes free parameters m_μ ($\mu = 1, \dots, n$), a , b_k and c_k ($k = 1, \dots, n$) and q with a relation $1 + b_{n-1} = a c_{n-1} + a q^2$. Then the fields are given by

$$F = q \sum_{\mu=1}^n \frac{\partial_\mu \ln \Phi}{2x_\mu} e^\mu \wedge e^{\hat{\mu}}, \quad (5.18)$$

$$H = - \sum_{\mu \neq \nu} \frac{x_\nu (\partial_\mu \ln \Phi)}{x_\mu} \sqrt{\frac{X_\nu}{U_\nu}} e^\mu \wedge e^{\hat{\mu}} \wedge e^{\hat{\nu}}, \quad (5.19)$$

$$\phi = \ln \Phi, \quad (5.20)$$

where

$$\Phi = 1 + b_{n-1} + b_n \sum_{\mu=1}^n x_\mu^2 + a \sum_{\mu=1}^n \frac{m_\mu}{U_\mu}. \quad (5.21)$$

In particular, when we put $c_n = 0$ and $\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2 = \dots = \epsilon_n = \pm 1$, the Ricci form ρ^B associated with the Bismut connection [38, 39]

$$\rho^B(X, Y) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a=1}^{2n} \mathcal{R}^B(X, Y, e_a, J(e_a)) \quad (5.22)$$

vanishes. Therefore the metric becomes Calabi–Yau with torsion.

If we take $a = 0$ and $b_k = 0$ for all k with $\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2 = \dots = \epsilon_n = \pm 1$, the torsion B_ϵ vanishes and the metric reduces to the orthotoric Kähler metric fully studied in [13]. In this case the torsion 3-form T remains non-trivial,

$$T = \sum_{\mu \neq \nu} \frac{2}{x_\mu + x_\nu} \sqrt{\frac{X_\nu}{U_\nu}} e^\mu \wedge e^{\hat{\mu}} \wedge e^{\hat{\nu}}. \quad (5.23)$$

This means that the orthotoric Kähler manifold does not admit ordinary closed conformal Killing–Yano tensors but possesses the PKY tensor with torsion.⁶ It is this tensor which is responsible for separability of Laplacian in these spaces, cf., [18].

5.2 Five-dimensional minimal supergravity black hole metrics

We consider the five-dimensional minimal gauged supergravity. The action is given by

$$S = \int *(\mathcal{R} + \Lambda) - \frac{1}{2} F \wedge *F + \frac{1}{3\sqrt{3}} F \wedge F \wedge A \quad (5.24)$$

⁶ It is known that there exists a Hamiltonian 2-form which always produces a rank-2 conformal Killing–Yano tensor. However, this is neither closed nor co-closed [13].

where F is 2-form field strength of Maxwell field A , $F = dA$. The equations of motion are

$$R_{ab} + \frac{\Lambda}{3}g_{ab} = \frac{1}{2}\left(F_{ac}F_b{}^c - \frac{1}{6}g_{ab}F_{cd}F^{cd}\right), \quad (5.25)$$

$$d * F - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}F \wedge F = 0. \quad (5.26)$$

We investigate five-dimensional metrics written in the form (4.31). This metric includes unknown functions N_μ and X_μ ($\mu = 1, 2$) which depend on one variable x_μ only. Following [10], we identify F with the torsion 3-form by $T = *F/\sqrt{3}$. The equations of motion give the solution

$$X_\mu = c_2 x_\mu^4 + c_1 x_\mu^2 + m_\mu + \frac{k^2 q_\mu^2}{x_\mu^2}, \quad (5.27)$$

$$N_\mu = b_1 x_\mu^2 + b_0 + \frac{q_\mu}{x_\mu^2}, \quad (5.28)$$

where $c_2 = \Lambda/30$ and $c_1, b_0, b_1, m_\mu, k, q_1$ and q_2 are free parameters⁷. The function Q_0 in (4.30) is determined as

$$\sqrt{Q_0} = \frac{k(q_2 x^2 - q_1 y^2)}{xy(x^2 - y^2)}. \quad (5.29)$$

The metric and the Maxwell field are given by

$$g = \sum_{\mu=1}^2 \frac{U_\mu}{X_\mu} dx_\mu^2 + \sum_{\mu=1}^2 \frac{X_\mu}{U_\mu} \left(\sum_{k=0}^1 A_\mu^{(k)} d\psi_k \right)^2 + k^2 x^2 y^2 (d\psi_2 - B_{(1)})^2, \quad (5.30)$$

$$F = \frac{2\sqrt{3}k(q_1 - q_2)}{(x^2 - y^2)^2} (x e^1 \wedge e^{\hat{1}} - y e^2 \wedge e^{\hat{2}}), \quad (5.31)$$

where $B_{(1)}$ is defined by (4.32).

This metric reproduces the rotating black hole metric discovered by Chong, Cvetič, Lü, and Pope in [41]. In the paper [42], it was shown that (5.30) is a unique metric admitting the d -closed PKY tensor with torsion subject to certain additional assumptions. Here we see how the demonstrated uniqueness fits into a general picture of classification of metrics admitting the PKY tensor with torsion.

6 Conclusions

In this paper we have classified spacetimes admitting a non-degenerate rank-2 d^T -closed generalized conformal Killing–Yano (PKY) tensor in all dimensions D . This classification, apart from its own significance, provides an alternative to various approaches to constructing new exact solutions. In particular, the spacetimes obtained provide an ansatz for exact solutions of various supergravities. A remarkable property of these metrics is that the PKY tensor generates a set of $n = [D/2]$ mutually commuting rank-2 Killing tensors. If a

⁷ The parameters k is a pure imaginary constant.

sufficient number of additional isometries is present (as is the case for the physical examples we discuss), this guarantees complete integrability of the geodesic equations as well and we expect furthermore the separability of scalar and Dirac equations. The problem of classification has been reduced to that of solving certain partial differential equations (3.33)–(3.35) in even dimensions and/or (4.20) in odd dimensions. The solutions can be classified into three types (A, B and C); we have constructed the corresponding examples of metrics explicitly.

So far we have not been able to find a general solution to the partial differential equations obtained and hence complete classification remains an open issue. However, we have demonstrated that our metrics cover many known solutions of various supergravities, such as higher-dimensional Kerr–Sen black hole metrics, KT metrics and Calabi–Yau with torsion metrics in abelian heterotic supergravity, and the charged rotating black hole metric of five-dimensional minimal gauged supergravity. We believe that the KT and Calabi–Yau with torsion metrics constructed in this paper are new. Recently constructed black hole solutions of gauged supergravities in 4, 6 and 7 dimensions [43–45] are also included in our metric. When studying the physical significance of the metrics we obtain, we have concentrated on type A metrics. It would be interesting to examine the physical meaning of type B and C metrics in the future.

One possible generalization of the obtained classification would be to relax the assumption on the non-degeneracy of the PKY tensor. In the torsion-less case, this leads to much richer structure of spacetimes, while the full classification is still possible [28, 29]. We also believe that the ansatz of higher rank GCKY tensors would lead to new families of more general solutions. Since our analysis was local, it is desirable to obtain global description of the metrics as a future problem. Global properties of conformal Killing–Yano tensors were investigated by Semmelmann [22]. He showed the existence of Killing–Yano tensors on Sasakian, 3-Sasakian, nearly Kahler and weak G_2 -manifold. These geometries are deeply related to supersymmetric compactifications and AdS/CFT correspondence in string theories. In App. A we have discussed generalized Killing spinors according to Semmelmann’s argument. It is an interesting question whether the presented method, or its generalizations, can provide a new construction in the geometry with torsion.

Acknowledgments

We wish to thank G. W. Gibbons and H. S. Reall for discussions and reading the manuscript. T.H. and Y.Y. also would like to thank DAMTP, University of Cambridge, for the hospitality. The work of T.H. is partially supported by the JSPS Institutional Program for Young Researcher Overseas Visits “Promoting international young researchers in mathematics and mathematical sciences led by OCAMI” and the JSPS Strategic Young Researcher Overseas Visits Program for Accelerating Brain Circulation “Deeping and Evolution of Mathematics and Physics, Building of International Network Hub based on OCAMI.” The work of Y.Y. is supported by the Grant-in Aid for Scientific Research No. 21244003 and No. 23540317 from Japan Ministry of Education. C.W. is grateful to PIMS and NSERC for support.

A Torsion Killing spinors

In this appendix we establish the relation between generalized Killing–Yano tensors and various torsion Killing spinors by extending the work of Semmelmann [22] and Cariglia [46]. To make calculations feasible we use the compact notations of [21]. Namely, we identify the elements of Clifford algebra with differential forms and denote the Clifford product by juxtaposition. Namely, for a 1-form α and p -form ω this reads

$$\alpha\omega = \alpha \wedge \omega + \alpha^\sharp \lrcorner \omega, \quad \omega\alpha = (-1)^p (\alpha \wedge \omega - \alpha^\sharp \lrcorner \omega). \quad (\text{A.1})$$

We also use a shorthand $e^{a_1 \dots a_p} = e^{a_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e^{a_p}$.

A *generalized twistor spinor* or *generalized conformal Killing spinor* ψ is a spinor which for any vector field X obeys the twistor equation with torsion:

$$\nabla_X^T \psi - \frac{1}{n} X^\flat D^T \psi = 0. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

Here the Dirac operator with torsion is defined as $D^T = e^a \nabla_{X_a}^T = D - \frac{3}{4} T$, with D being the Dirac operator of the Levi-Civita connection. Similarly, we call a spinor ψ obeying

$$\nabla_X^T \psi - \lambda X^\flat \psi = 0 \quad (\text{A.3})$$

for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ a *generalized Killing spinor*.⁸

A.1 Twistor spinors and GCKY tensors

Similar to the torsion-less case there is a connection between the existence of generalized twistor spinor and the existence of a tower of GCKY tensors. Namely, the following lemma holds:

Lemma A.1 *Let ψ_1 and ψ_2 be two generalized twistor spinors. Then the p -form ($p = 1, \dots, n$)*

$$\omega = (\psi_1, e^{a_1 \dots a_p} \psi_2) e_{a_1 \dots a_p}, \quad (\text{A.4})$$

where (\cdot, \cdot) stands for a spin-invariant symplectic product, is a GCKY tensor.

Proof: To prove this lemma we basically follow the calculation in App. A of [22]. We calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_X^T \omega &= \frac{1}{n} (X^\flat D^T \psi_1, e^{a_1 \dots a_p} \psi_2) e_{a_1 \dots a_p} + \frac{1}{n} (\psi_1, e^{a_1 \dots a_p} X^\flat D^T \psi_2) e_{a_1 \dots a_p} \\ &\quad + (\psi_1, \nabla_X^T [e^{a_1 \dots a_p}] \psi_2) e_{a_1 \dots a_p} + (\psi_1, e^{a_1 \dots a_p} \psi_2) \nabla_X^T e_{a_1 \dots a_p}. \end{aligned}$$

To simplify our calculation, we can work in a basis which is “parallel at a point”, in which we have

$$\nabla_X^T (e^{a_1 \dots a_p}) = \frac{p}{2} T(X, e^{[a_1}, e^{b]} e^{a_2 \dots a_p]). \quad (\text{A.5})$$

⁸It is easy to see, that a generalized twistor spinor ψ which in addition obeys the Dirac equation with torsion, $D^T \psi = \frac{\lambda}{n} \psi$, is a generalized Killing spinor.

Due to the antisymmetry of torsion T , we find that the last two terms cancel. Using further the property of the symplectic product $(\alpha u, v) = (-1)^{[q/2]}(u, \alpha v)$, valid for an arbitrary q -form α , we arrive at

$$\nabla_X^T \omega = \frac{1}{n} (D^T \psi_1, X^\flat e^{a_1 \dots a_p} \psi_2) e_{a_1 \dots a_p} + \frac{1}{n} (\psi_1, e^{a_1 \dots a_p} X^\flat D^T \psi_2) e_{a_1 \dots a_p}. \quad (\text{A.6})$$

Consider now

$$\begin{aligned} d^T \omega &= e^b \wedge \nabla_{e_b}^T \omega \\ &= \frac{1}{n} (D^T \psi_1, e^{ba_1 \dots a_p} \psi_2) e_{ba_1 \dots a_p} + \frac{(-1)^p}{n} (\psi_1, e^{ba_1 \dots a_p} D^T \psi_2) e_{ba_1 \dots a_p}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.7})$$

So we get

$$\begin{aligned} X \lrcorner d^T \omega &= \frac{p+1}{n} (D^T \psi_1, X^\flat \wedge e^{a_1 \dots a_p} \psi_2) e_{a_1 \dots a_p} \\ &\quad + (-1)^p \frac{p+1}{n} (\psi_1, X^\flat \wedge e^{a_1 \dots a_p} D^T \psi_2) e_{a_1 \dots a_p}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.8})$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^T \omega &= -e_b \lrcorner \nabla_{e_b}^T \omega \\ &= -\frac{p}{n} (D^T \psi_1, e_b e^{ba_2 \dots a_p} \psi_2) e_{a_2 \dots a_p} - \frac{p}{n} (\psi_1, e^{ba_2 \dots a_p} e_b D^T \psi_2) e_{a_2 \dots a_p} \\ &= -\frac{p(n-p+1)}{n} (D^T \psi_1, e^{a_2 \dots a_p} \psi_2) e_{a_2 \dots a_p} \\ &\quad + (-1)^p \frac{p(n-p+1)}{n} (\psi_1, e^{a_2 \dots a_p} D^T \psi_2) e_{a_2 \dots a_p}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.9})$$

where we have used (A.1). So we get

$$\begin{aligned} X^\flat \wedge \delta^T \omega &= -\frac{n-p+1}{n} (D^T \psi_1, X \lrcorner e^{a_1 \dots a_p} \psi_2) e_{a_1 \dots a_p} \\ &\quad + (-1)^p \frac{n-p+1}{n} (\psi_1, X \lrcorner e^{a_1 \dots a_p} D^T \psi_2) e_{a_1 \dots a_p}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.10})$$

Putting (A.6), (A.8), and (A.10) together and using (A.1) again we finally obtain

$$\nabla_X^T \omega - \frac{1}{p+1} X \lrcorner d^T \omega + \frac{1}{n-p+1} X^\flat \wedge \delta^T \omega = 0. \quad \square$$

A.2 Special GCKY tensors

Let us define a *special Killing–Yano tensor with torsion* to be a p -form which obeys

$$\nabla_X^T \omega = \frac{1}{p+1} X \lrcorner d^T \omega, \quad \nabla_X^T (d^T \omega) = c X^\flat \wedge \omega, \quad (\text{A.11})$$

for any vector field X and some constant c . It is a torsion generalization of a special Killing–Yano tensor introduced by Tachibana and Yu [47] and exploited by Semmelmann [22]. Using (A.11) we immediately find that ω is an eigenform of the torsion Laplace-de Rham operator

$$-(d^T \delta^T + \delta^T d^T) \omega = c(n-p) \omega. \quad (\text{A.12})$$

Notice also that (A.11) implies $d^T d^T \omega = 0$. Moreover, when ω is an odd-rank special Killing–Yano tensor with torsion, so is $(k = 0, 1, \dots)$

$$\omega_{(k)} \equiv \omega \wedge (d^T \omega)^{\wedge k}. \quad (\text{A.13})$$

Similarly, one can define a *special d^T -closed GCKY tensor* ω to be a p -form obeying

$$\nabla_X^T \omega + \frac{1}{n-p+1} X^\flat \wedge \delta^T \omega = 0, \quad \nabla_X^T (\delta^T \omega) = \tilde{c} X^\flat \lrcorner \omega. \quad (\text{A.14})$$

for any vector field X and some constant \tilde{c} . Again, such ω is an eigenform of the torsion Laplace-de Rham operator, $-(d^T \delta^T + \delta^T d^T) \omega = -\tilde{c} p \omega$, and we have $\delta^T \delta^T \omega = 0$.

Let us now consider a case when we have two generalized Killing spinors ψ_1 and ψ_2 ,

$$\nabla_X^T \psi_1 - \lambda_1 X^\flat \psi_1 = 0, \quad \nabla_X^T \psi_2 - \lambda_2 X^\flat \psi_2 = 0, \quad (\text{A.15})$$

and construct a p -form $(p = 1, \dots, n)$

$$\omega_p = (\psi_1, e^{a_1 \dots a_p} \psi_2) e_{a_1 \dots a_p}. \quad (\text{A.16})$$

Then, by using

$$\begin{aligned} X^\flat \wedge \omega_p &= \frac{1}{p+1} (\psi_1, X^\flat \wedge e^{a_1 \dots a_{p+1}} \psi_2) e_{a_1 \dots a_{p+1}}, \\ X^\flat \lrcorner \omega_p &= p (\psi_1, X^\flat \wedge e^{a_1 \dots a_{p-1}} \psi_2) e_{a_1 \dots a_{p-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

and with the definition $k_+ \equiv \bar{\lambda}_1 + (-1)^p \lambda_2$, $k_- \equiv \bar{\lambda}_1 - (-1)^p \lambda_2$, we easily find that

$$\nabla_X^T \omega_p = \frac{k_+}{p+1} X^\flat \lrcorner \omega_{p+1} + p k_- X^\flat \wedge \omega_{p-1}. \quad (\text{A.17})$$

This means that ω_p is a GCKY p -form and moreover one has

$$d^T \omega_p = k_+ \omega_{p+1}, \quad \delta^T \omega_p = -p(n-p+1) k_- \omega_{p-1}. \quad (\text{A.18})$$

Taking a torsion derivative of these expressions while applying (A.17) we obtain

$$\nabla_X^T (d^T \omega_p) = \frac{k_+ k_-}{p+2} X^\flat \lrcorner \omega_{p+2} + k_+^2 (p+1) X^\flat \wedge \omega_p, \quad (\text{A.19})$$

$$\nabla_X^T (\delta^T \omega_p) = -(n-p+1) k_-^2 X^\flat \lrcorner \omega_p - p(p-1)(n-p+1) k_+ k_- X^\flat \wedge \omega_p. \quad (\text{A.20})$$

Obviously, when $k_- = 0$ (which happens for example for p odd and $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda \in \mathbb{I}_m$), ω_p is a special Killing–Yano p -form with torsion, whereas when $k_+ = 0$, we have a special d^T -closed GCKY p -form. This allows us to formulate the following lemma, extending so the results of Cariglia [46]:

Lemma A.2 *Let ψ be a generalized Killing spinor with purely imaginary λ , $\lambda \in \mathbb{I}_m$. Then the above defined ω_p is a special Killing–Yano with torsion (d^T -closed GCKY) p -form for p odd (even). Moreover, $d^T \omega_{2l+1} = -2\lambda \omega_{2l+2}$ and $\delta^T \omega = 4\lambda(l+1)(n-2l-1) \omega_{2l+1}$.*

A similar result (but with the words odd and even interchanged) is valid for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

B Bismut connection

Let us consider a spacetime (M, g) admitting a PKY tensor h with torsion. With respect to the canonical frame $\{e^a\}$ the commutation relations are given by (3.21)–(3.24) in even dimensions and (4.12)–(4.17) in odd dimensions,

$$\begin{aligned}
[e_\mu, e_\nu] &= -\frac{x_\nu \sqrt{Q_\nu}}{x_\mu^2 - x_\nu^2} e_\mu - \frac{x_\mu \sqrt{Q_\mu}}{x_\mu^2 - x_\nu^2} e_\nu, \\
[e_\mu, e_{\hat{\mu}}] &= K_\mu e_\mu + L_\mu e_{\hat{\mu}} + \sum_{\rho \neq \mu} M_{\mu\rho} e_{\hat{\rho}} + \varepsilon J_\mu e_0, \\
[e_\mu, e_{\hat{\nu}}] &= -\frac{x_\mu \sqrt{Q_\mu}}{x_\mu^2 - x_\nu^2} e_{\hat{\nu}}, \\
[e_{\hat{\mu}}, e_{\hat{\nu}}] &= 0, \\
[e_\mu, e_0] &= -\frac{\sqrt{Q_\mu}}{x_\mu} e_0, \\
[e_{\hat{\mu}}, e_0] &= 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{B.1}$$

where we have used Lemma 2.1.

In the case of even dimensions, we introduce an almost complex structure

$$J(e_\mu) = -\chi_\mu e_{\hat{\mu}}, \quad J(e_{\hat{\mu}}) = \frac{1}{\chi_\mu} e_\mu, \tag{B.2}$$

where χ_μ is an arbitrary function satisfying $e_\nu(\chi_\mu) = e_{\hat{\nu}}(\chi_\mu) = 0$ for $\nu \neq \mu$. Then the complex tangent space can be decomposed as $T^C \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{D} \oplus \overline{\mathcal{D}}$ where \mathcal{D} and $\overline{\mathcal{D}}$ are the eigenspaces corresponding to the eigenvalues $\sqrt{-1}$ and $-\sqrt{-1}$ respectively: $\mathcal{D} = \text{Span}\{e_\mu + \sqrt{-1} \chi_\mu e_{\hat{\mu}} \mid \mu = 1, \dots, n\}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{D}} = \text{Span}\{e_\mu - \sqrt{-1} \chi_\mu e_{\hat{\mu}} \mid \mu = 1, \dots, n\}$. We find that the complex distribution \mathcal{D} is integrable because $[V, W] \in \mathcal{D}$ for any $V, W \in \mathcal{D}$, which is equivalent to vanishing of the Nijenhuis tensor

$$N(X, Y) \equiv [J(X), J(Y)] - [X, Y] - J([X, J(Y)]) - J([J(X), Y]) = 0 \tag{B.3}$$

for all $X, Y \in T\mathcal{M}$. Thus J is a complex structure. In particular, when we take $\chi_\mu = \epsilon_\mu$ with $\epsilon_\mu = \pm 1$, it is shown that the $2n$ -dimensional spacetime (M, g) admits 2^n hermitian complex structures:

- (a) $J_\epsilon = J|_{\chi_\mu = \epsilon_\mu}$ is a complex structure for each $\epsilon = (\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n)$.
- (b) g is a hermitian metric, i.e., $g(X, Y) = g(J_\epsilon X, J_\epsilon Y)$.

It is known [37] that there exists a unique Hermitian connection ∇^B with a skew-symmetric torsion B , where a connection ∇^B is called Hermitian if $\nabla^B g = 0$, $\nabla^B J = 0$. Hence we have a 2-form $\omega(X, Y) = g(X, J(Y))$ such that $\nabla^B \omega = 0$. This connection is called the *Bismut connection* and the corresponding manifold (M, g, J, ω, B) is called a *Kähler with torsion (KT) manifold*. By using such a 2-form ω the torsion can be written as

$$B(X, Y, Z) = -d\omega(J(X), J(Y), J(Z)). \tag{B.4}$$

In the present case, the Bismut torsion associated with J_ϵ is explicitly given by

$$B_\epsilon = \sum_{\mu=1}^n \sum_{\nu \neq \mu} \left(\frac{2\epsilon_\mu \epsilon_\nu x_\nu \sqrt{Q_\nu}}{x_\mu^2 - x_\nu^2} - M_{\mu\nu} \right) e^\mu \wedge e^{\hat{\mu}} \wedge e^{\hat{\nu}}. \quad (\text{B.5})$$

The torsion T associated with the PKY tensor h , cf. (2.14), is different from the Bismut torsion B_ϵ . If we choose as $\chi_\mu = x_\mu$ instead of $\chi_\mu = \epsilon_\mu$ for all μ , then the complex structure $\bar{J} = J|_{\chi_\mu = x_\mu}$ is naturally related to the torsion T as

$$T(X, Y, Z) = -dh(\bar{J}(X), \bar{J}(Y), \bar{J}(Z)), \quad (\text{B.6})$$

which gives a geometrical interpretation of (2.16).

In the case of odd dimensions, we find a Cauchy-Riemann (CR) structure. Indeed, the complex distribution \mathcal{D}_ϵ , where $\mathcal{D}_\epsilon = \text{Span}\{e_\mu + \epsilon_\mu \sqrt{-1} e_{\hat{\mu}} \mid \mu = 1, \dots, n\} \subset T^C \mathcal{M}$, is integrable because $[Z, W] \in \mathcal{D}_\epsilon$ for any $Z, W \in \mathcal{D}_\epsilon$.

C Covariant derivatives

In this appendix, we gather covariant derivatives $\nabla_{e_a}^T e_b$. These were calculated using Lemma 3.1 in even dimensions and Lemma 4.1 in odd dimensions. Integrability conditions of the PKY equation (3.16) and (4.8) have also been employed. As a results, $\nabla_{e_a}^T e_b$ are determined in terms of the PKY eigenvalues x_μ , unknown functions Q_μ and Q_0 , and derivatives of the associated 1-form κ_a^b defined by (3.9). We have the following results:

1. In even dimensions

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{e_\mu}^T e_\mu &= \sum_{\rho \neq \mu} \frac{x_\rho \sqrt{Q_\rho}}{x_\mu^2 - x_\rho^2} e_\rho - \frac{\kappa_\mu^\mu}{\sqrt{Q_\mu}} e_\mu, \\ \nabla_{e_\mu}^T e_\nu &= -\frac{x_\nu \sqrt{Q_\nu}}{x_\mu^2 - x_\nu^2} e_\mu \quad (\mu \neq \nu), \\ \nabla_{e_\mu}^T e_{\hat{\mu}} &= \sum_{\rho \neq \mu} \frac{x_\mu \sqrt{Q_\rho}}{x_\mu^2 - x_\rho^2} e_{\hat{\rho}} + \frac{\kappa_\mu^\mu}{\sqrt{Q_\mu}} e_{\hat{\mu}}, \\ \nabla_{e_\mu}^T e_{\hat{\nu}} &= -\frac{x_\mu \sqrt{Q_\nu}}{x_\mu^2 - x_\nu^2} e_{\hat{\mu}} \quad (\mu \neq \nu), \\ \nabla_{e_{\hat{\mu}}}^T e_\mu &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{Q_\mu}} \left(\sum_{\rho \neq \mu} \frac{x_\mu Q_\rho}{x_\mu^2 - x_\rho^2} - \kappa_{\hat{\mu}}^\mu \right) e_{\hat{\mu}} - \sum_{\rho \neq \mu} \frac{x_\mu \sqrt{Q_\rho}}{x_\mu^2 - x_\rho^2} e_{\hat{\rho}}, \\ \nabla_{e_{\hat{\mu}}}^T e_\nu &= -\frac{x_\nu \sqrt{Q_\nu}}{x_\mu^2 - x_\nu^2} e_{\hat{\mu}} + \left(\frac{x_\nu \sqrt{Q_\mu}}{x_\mu^2 - x_\nu^2} - \frac{\kappa_{\hat{\mu}}^\nu}{\sqrt{Q_\nu}} \right) e_{\hat{\nu}} \quad (\mu \neq \nu), \\ \nabla_{e_{\hat{\mu}}}^T e_{\hat{\mu}} &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{Q_\mu}} \left(\sum_{\rho \neq \mu} \frac{x_\mu Q_\rho}{x_\mu^2 - x_\rho^2} - \kappa_{\hat{\mu}}^\mu \right) e_\mu + \sum_{\rho \neq \mu} \frac{x_\rho \sqrt{Q_\rho}}{x_\mu^2 - x_\rho^2} e_\rho, \\ \nabla_{e_{\hat{\mu}}}^T e_{\hat{\nu}} &= \frac{x_\mu \sqrt{Q_\nu}}{x_\mu^2 - x_\nu^2} e_\mu - \left(\frac{x_\nu \sqrt{Q_\mu}}{x_\mu^2 - x_\nu^2} - \frac{\kappa_{\hat{\mu}}^\nu}{\sqrt{Q_\nu}} \right) e_\nu \quad (\mu \neq \nu). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.1})$$

2. In odd dimensions

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{e_\mu}^T e_\mu &= \sum_{\rho \neq \mu} \frac{x_\rho \sqrt{Q_\rho}}{x_\mu^2 - x_\rho^2} e_\rho - \frac{\kappa_\mu^\mu}{\sqrt{Q_\mu}} e_{\hat{\mu}} , \\
\nabla_{e_\mu}^T e_\nu &= -\frac{x_\nu \sqrt{Q_\nu}}{x_\mu^2 - x_\nu^2} e_\mu \quad (\mu \neq \nu) , \\
\nabla_{e_\mu}^T e_{\hat{\mu}} &= \sum_{\rho \neq \mu} \frac{x_\rho \sqrt{Q_\rho}}{x_\mu^2 - x_\rho^2} e_{\hat{\rho}} + \frac{\kappa_\mu^\mu}{\sqrt{Q_\mu}} e_{\hat{\mu}} + \frac{\sqrt{Q_0}}{x_\mu} e_0 , \\
\nabla_{e_\mu}^T e_{\hat{\nu}} &= -\frac{x_\mu \sqrt{Q_\nu}}{x_\mu^2 - x_\nu^2} e_{\hat{\mu}} \quad (\mu \neq \nu) , \\
\nabla_{e_{\hat{\mu}}}^T e_\mu &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{Q_\mu}} \left(\sum_{\rho \neq \mu} \frac{x_\mu Q_\rho}{x_\mu^2 - x_\rho^2} + \frac{Q_0}{x_\mu} - \kappa_{\hat{\mu}}^\mu \right) e_{\hat{\mu}} - \sum_{\rho \neq \mu} \frac{x_\mu \sqrt{Q_\rho}}{x_\mu^2 - x_\rho^2} e_{\hat{\rho}} - \frac{\sqrt{Q_0}}{x_\mu} e_0 , \\
\nabla_{e_{\hat{\mu}}}^T e_\nu &= -\frac{x_\nu \sqrt{Q_\nu}}{x_\mu^2 - x_\nu^2} e_{\hat{\mu}} + \left(\frac{x_\nu \sqrt{Q_\mu}}{x_\mu^2 - x_\nu^2} - \frac{\kappa_{\hat{\mu}}^\nu}{\sqrt{Q_\nu}} \right) e_{\hat{\nu}} \quad (\mu \neq \nu) , \\
\nabla_{e_{\hat{\mu}}}^T e_{\hat{\mu}} &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{Q_\mu}} \left(\sum_{\rho \neq \mu} \frac{x_\mu Q_\rho}{x_\mu^2 - x_\rho^2} + \frac{Q_0}{x_\mu} - \kappa_{\hat{\mu}}^\mu \right) e_\mu + \sum_{\rho \neq \mu} \frac{x_\rho \sqrt{Q_\rho}}{x_\mu^2 - x_\rho^2} e_\rho , \\
\nabla_{e_{\hat{\mu}}}^T e_{\hat{\nu}} &= \frac{x_\mu \sqrt{Q_\nu}}{x_\mu^2 - x_\nu^2} e_\mu - \left(\frac{x_\nu \sqrt{Q_\mu}}{x_\mu^2 - x_\nu^2} - \frac{\kappa_{\hat{\mu}}^\nu}{\sqrt{Q_\nu}} \right) e_\nu \quad (\mu \neq \nu) , \\
\nabla_{e_\mu}^T e_0 &= -\frac{\sqrt{Q_0}}{x_\mu} e_{\hat{\mu}} , \\
\nabla_{e_{\hat{\mu}}}^T e_0 &= \frac{\sqrt{Q_0}}{x_\mu} e_\mu , \\
\nabla_{e_0}^T e_\mu &= -\left(\frac{\sqrt{Q_0}}{x_\mu} + \frac{\kappa_0^\mu}{\sqrt{Q_\mu}} \right) e_{\hat{\mu}} + \frac{\sqrt{Q_\mu}}{x_\mu} e_0 , \\
\nabla_{e_0}^T e_{\hat{\mu}} &= \left(\frac{\sqrt{Q_0}}{x_\mu} + \frac{\kappa_0^\mu}{\sqrt{Q_\mu}} \right) e_\mu , \\
\nabla_{e_0}^T e_0 &= -\sum_{\mu=1} \frac{\sqrt{Q_\mu}}{x_\mu} e_\mu .
\end{aligned} \tag{C.2}$$

References

- [1] K. Yano, *Some remarks on tensor fields and curvature*, Ann. Math., **55**, 328-347 (1952).
- [2] S. Tachibana, *On conformal Killing tensor in a Riemannian space*, Tôhoku Math. J., **21**, 56-64 (1969).
- [3] T. Kashiwada, *On conformal Killing tensor*, Nat. Sci. Rep. Ochanomizu Univ., **19**, 67-74 (1968).
- [4] R. C. Myers and M. J. Perry, *Black holes in higher dimensional space-times*, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.), **172**, 304-347 (1986).

- [5] G. W. Gibbons, H. Lü, D. N. Page and C. N. Pope, *Rotating black holes in higher dimensions with a cosmological constant*, Phys. Rev. Lett., **93**, 171102 (2004); [hep-th/0409155](#).
- [6] W. Chen, H. Lü and C. N. Pope, *General Kerr-NUT-AdS metrics in all dimensions*, Class. Quant. Grav., **23**, 5323-5340 (2006); [hep-th/0604125](#).
- [7] V. P. Frolov and D. Kubizňák, *Higher-Dimensional Black Holes: Hidden Symmetries and Separation of Variables*, Class. Quant. Grav., **25**, 154005 (2008); [arXiv:0802.0322](#).
- [8] Y. Yasui and T. Houri, *Hidden Symmetry and Exact Solutions in Einstein Gravity*, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl., **189**, Chapter 5, 126-164 (2011); [arXiv:1104.0852](#).
- [9] O. P. Santillan, *Killing-Yano tensors and some applications*, [arXiv:1108.0149](#) (2011).
- [10] D. Kubizňák, H. K. Kunduri and Y. Yasui, *Generalized Killing-Yano equations in $D = 5$ gauged supergravity*, Phys. Lett., **B678**, 240-245 (2009); [arXiv:0905.0722](#).
- [11] D. Kubizňák, C.M. Warnick and P. Krtouš, *Hidden symmetry in the presence of fluxes*, Nucl. Phys. **B844**, 185-198 (2011); [arXiv:1009.2767](#).
- [12] T. Houri, D. Kubizňák, C. M. Warnick and Y. Yasui, *Generalized hidden symmetries and the Kerr-Sen black hole*, JHEP, **07**, 055 (2010); [arXiv:1004.1032](#).
- [13] V. Apostolov, D. M. J. Calderbank and P. Gauduchon, *Hamiltonian 2-forms in Kahler geometry, I General Theory*, J. Diff. Geom., **73**, 359-412 (2006); [arXiv:math/0202280](#).
- [14] M. Cvetič, H. Lü, D. N. Page and C. N. Pope, *New Einstein-Sasaki Spaces in Five and Higher Dimensions*, Phys. Rev. Lett., **95**, 071101 (2005); [hep-th/0504225](#).
- [15] M. Cvetič, H. Lü, D. N. Page and C. N. Pope, *New Einstein-Sasaki and Einstein Spaces from Kerr-de Sitter*, JHEP **0907**, 082 (2009); [hep-th/0505223](#).
- [16] H. Lü and C. N. Pope, *Resolutions of Cones over Einstein-Sasaki Spaces*, Nucl. Phys., **B782**, 171-188 (2007); [hep-th/0605222](#).
- [17] N. Hamamoto, T. Houri, T. Oota and Y. Yasui, *Kerr-NUT-de Sitter Curvature in All Dimensions*, J. Phys. **A40**, F177-F184 (2007); [arXiv:hep-th/0611285](#).
- [18] W. Chen, M. Cvetič, H. Lu, C. N. Pope and J. F. Vazquez-Poritz, *Resolved Calabi-Yau Cones and Flows from L^{abc} Superconformal Field Theories*, Nucl. Phys. **B785**, 74 (2007); [hep-th/0701082](#).
- [19] D. Martelli and J. Sparks, *Toric Sasaki-Einstein metrics on $S^2 \times S^3$* , Phys. Lett. B **621**, 208 (2005); [hep-th/0505027](#).
- [20] D. Kubizňák, *On the supersymmetric limit of Kerr-NUT-AdS metrics*, Phys. Lett. **B675**, 110-115 (2009); [arXiv:0902.1999](#).
- [21] T. Houri, D. Kubizňák, C. M. Warnick, and Y. Yasui, *Symmetries of the Dirac Operator with Skew-Symmetric Torsion*, Class. Quant. Grav. **27**, 185019 (2010); [arXiv:1002.3616](#).
- [22] U. Semmelmann, *Conformal Killing forms on Riemannian manifolds*, [arXiv:math/0206117](#) (2002).
- [23] G. Papadopoulos, *Killing-Yano equations with torsion, worldline actions and G-structures*, [arXiv:1111.6744](#) (2011).
- [24] W. Dietz and R. Rüdiger, *Space-Times Admitting Killing-Yano Tensors. I*, Proc. R. Soc. London **A375**, 361-378 (1981).

- [25] W. Dietz and R. Rüdiger, *Space-Times Admitting Killing–Yano Tensors. II*, Proc. R. Soc. London **A381**, 315-322 (1982).
- [26] T. Houri, T. Oota and Y. Yasui, *Closed conformal Killing–Yano tensor and Kerr-NUT-de Sitter spacetime uniqueness*, Phys. Lett., **B656**, 214-216 (2007); [arXiv:0708.1368](#).
- [27] P. Krtouš, V. P. Frolov and D. Kubizňák, *Hidden Symmetries of Higher Dimensional Black Holes and Uniqueness of the Kerr-NUT-(A)dS Spacetime*, Phys. Rev., **D78**, 064022 (2008); [arXiv:0804.4705](#).
- [28] T. Houri, T. Oota and Y. Yasui, *Generalized Kerr-NUT-de Sitter metrics in all dimensions*, Phys. Lett., **B666**, 391-394 (2008); [arXiv:0805.0838](#).
- [29] T. Houri, T. Oota and Y. Yasui, *Closed conformal Killing–Yano tensor and uniqueness of generalized Kerr-NUT-de Sitter spacetime*, Class. Quant. Grav., **26**, 045015 (2009); [arXiv:0805.3877](#).
- [30] G. Papadopoulos, *Killing–Yano equations and G-structures*, Class. Quant. Grav. **25**, 105016 (2008); [arXiv:0712.0542](#).
- [31] V. Cardoso, et al., *NR/HEP: roadmap for the future*, [arXiv:1201.5118](#) (2012).
- [32] A. Moroianu and Uwe Semmelmann, *Twistor Forms on Kähler Manifolds*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. **2**, 823-845 (2003).
- [33] D. Martelli and J. Sparks, *Non-Kähler heterotic rotations*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **15**, 131-174 (2011); [arXiv:1010.4031](#).
- [34] D. D. K. Chow, *Symmetries of supergravity black holes*, Class. Quant. Grav., **27**, 205009 (2010); [arXiv:0811.1264](#).
- [35] A. Sen, *Rotating charged black hole solution in heterotic string theory*, Phys. Rev. Lett., **69**, 1006-1009 (1992); [hep-th/9204046](#).
- [36] M. Cvetič and D. Youm, *Near-BPS-Saturated Rotating Electrically Charged Black Holes as String States*, Nucl. Phys. **B477**, 449-464 (1996); [hep-th/9605051](#).
- [37] J. M. Bismut, *Local index theorem for non-Kähler manifolds*, Math. Ann., **284**, 681-699 (1989).
- [38] S. Ivanov and G. Papadopoulos, *Vanishing Theorem and String Backgrounds*, Class. Quant. Grav. **18**, 1089-1110 (2001); [arXiv:math/0010038](#).
- [39] T. Friedrich and S. Ivanov, *Parallel spinors and connections with skew-symmetric torsion in string theory*, Asian J. Math. **6**, 303-336 (2002); [arXiv:math/0102142](#).
- [40] L. Mason and A. Taghavi-Chabert, *Killing-Yano tensors and multi-Hermitian structures*, J. Geom. Phys., **60**, no. 6-8, 907-923 (2010).
- [41] Z. W. Chong, M. Cvetič, H. Lü and C. N. Pope, *General Non-Extremal Rotating Black Holes in Minimal Five-Dimensional Gauged Supergravity*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 161301 (2005); [hep-th/0506029](#).
- [42] H. Ahmedov and A. N. Aliev, *Uniqueness of Rotating Charged Black Holes in Five-Dimensional Minimal Gauged Supergravity*, Phys. Lett., **B679**, 396-400 (2009); [arXiv:0907.1804](#).
- [43] D. D. Chow, *Equal charge black holes and seven dimensional gauged supergravity*, Class. Quant. Grav., **25**, 175010 (2008); [arXiv:0711.1975](#).

- [44] D. D. Chow, *Charged rotating black holes in six-dimensional gauged supergravity*, Class. Quant. Grav., **27**, 065004 (2010); [arXiv:0808.2728](#).
- [45] D. D. Chow, *Single-charge rotating black holes in four-dimensional gauged supergravity*, Class. Quant. Grav., **28**, 032001 (2011); [arXiv:1011.2202](#).
- [46] M. Cariglia, *Quantum mechanics of Yano tensors: Dirac equation in curved spacetime*, Class. Quant. Grav. **21**, 1051 (2004); [[hep-th/0305153](#)].
- [47] S. Tachibana and W. N. Yu, *On a Riemannian space admitting more than one Sasakian structures*, Tohoku Math. J. (2) **22**, 536-540 (1970).